## E VALUE RATIOS FOR GRAYFISH, SOUPFIN SHARK, SABLE FISH, AND HALIBUT LIVER OILS PRODUCED IN THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST

By F. Bruce Sanford of and Elizabeth Vaughan

ABSTRACT

Ratios of the amount of light absorbed at the wave lengths 300, 328, and 350mmu. by vitamin A in several species of fish liver oils were investigated. The average values of these ratios, the variation to be found, and the maximum and minimum values to be expected on a probability basis are given.

## INTRODUCTION

Through the cooperation of the Pacific Northwest vitamin A processors, E value ratios for a substantial number of commercial batches of grayfish, soupfin shark, sablefish, and halibut liver oils have been made available to the Seattle Technological Laboratory. The data were taken over a number of years, and they are representative of a large tonnage of livers.

Ratios are used to evaluate the results obtained by the spectophotometric method of vitamin A analysis. Since this method is widely employed, and since the bulk of the vitamin A oils produced in the United States are of the four types here considered, these data are believed to be important.

Two ratios were investigated. These are E(300mmu.)/E(328mmu.) and E(350mmu.)/E(328mmu.). The purpose of this paper is to give the average values of these ratios, the variation to be found, and the maximum and minimum values to be expected on a probability basis.

Table 1 - Data on the Ratio E(300mmu.)/E(328mmu.)1/						
Type of oil2/	Number of samples3/		Standard deviation	Coefficient of variation	Range in which 95% of the ratios are expected to fall	Range in which 99% of the ratios are expected to fall4
BUILD TO THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF	n	x	S	100s/x	x ± 1.96s	x ± 2,571s
Grayfish (Squalus suckleyi)	35 107 30 89	0.6673 0.6651 0.6086 0.5968	0.0355 0.0275 0.0199 0.0185	4.13	0.5977-0.7369 0.6112-0.7190 0.5696-0.6476 0.5605-0.6331	0.5944-0.7358 0.5574-0.6598

1) These data were taken by means of the Beckman spectrophotometer employing a tungsten light source. The slit widths were not standardized. The solvent used was isopropanol.

2/The manufacturers stated that to the best of their knowledge the oils were not mixtures and that they were representative of commercial oil shipments from the Pacific Northwest.

In the grayfish data were taken during the year 1947. The soupfin shark, sablefish, and halibut data were taken in the years 1944-47. The data for each type of fish are representative of many tons of livers.

4/The mere fact that the ratios for an oil fall within a given range is no guarantee that the oil is of a given

4/The mere fact that the ratios for an oil fall within a given range is no guarantee that the oil is of a given type or even that it contains vitamin A. Conversely, the fact that the ratios do not fall within the specified range is no proof that the oil is not of the type claimed. It must be remembered that these are the variations encountered in the Pacific Northwest with large lots of oils. Smaller lots or oils processed elsewhere may not exhibit the same variation. The data do give a good indication, however, of what should reasonably be expected.

<sup>\*</sup> Chemist, Seattle Technological Laboratory, Branch of Commercial Fisheries, Seattle, Wash. \*\*Statistician, Branch of Fishery Biology, Seattle, Wash.

## OBSERVATION ON E VALUE RATIOS DATA

Oils whose means are compared	Degrees of freedom	"Student's"	Probability	Significance of difference between means
Grayfish and soupfin shark	140	0.38	0.70	not significant
Gravfish and sablefish	63	8.02	less than 0.001	highly significant
Grayfish and halibut	122	14.42	less than 0.001	very highly significant
Soupfin shark and sablefish		10.52	less than 0.001	very highly significant
Soupfin shark and halibut		20,00	less than 0.001	very highly significant
Sablefish and halibut	117	2.96	0.001 < P < 0.01	significant

The average values of the ratios are given in Tables 1 and 3 as are also their coefficients of variation. In the case of a natural product, such as a fish liver oil, one might anticipate that its properties would be widely variable. In view of this probable variation, the compiled data show that the individual ratios are surprisingly constant. The maximum coefficient of variation found for any one of them is only 5.32 percent. This value is of the same order of magnitude as the instrumental error in making the measurements. It can be noted that, in the case of

Disease Bifesidae	Table	3 - Data	on the Pati	o E350mmu.	to E328mmu.	Tim 4 TO: Boids
Type of oil	Number of samples	value of	Standard deviation	of	Range in which 95% of the ratios are expected to fall	Range in which 999 of the ratios are expected to fall
Grayfish	30	0.5815 0.5696 0.6415 0.6600	s 0.0157 0.0089 0.0246 0.0202	100s/x 2.70 1.56 3.83 3.06	* ± 1.96s 0.5507-0.6123 0.5522-0.5870 0.5933-0.6897 0.6204-0.6996	* ± 2.571s 0.5411-0.6219 0.5467-0.5925 0.5783-0.7047 0.6081-0.7119

the shark oils, the greatest variation is in the E(300mmu.)/E(328mmu.) ratio, while in the case of the food fishes, the greatest variation is in the E(350mmu.)/E(328mmu.) ratio. It is also interesting to note that the average values of the E(300mmu.)/ E(328mmu.) ratio are similar for the oils from the two species of shark and that they are higher than the ratios for the oils from the food fish, while the converse is true for the E(350mmu.)/E(328mmu.) ratio. Another observation is that even at the 99 percent level, the ranges for the E(350mmu.)/E(328mmu.) ratios of the oils from the soupfin shark and halibut do not overlap.

A statistical analysis (Tables 2 and 4) of the data shows that, except for the ratios E(300mmu.)/E(328mmu.) for grayfish and soupfin shark, all other ratios presented here differ significantly.

				. to E328mmu. and their Interpretation
Oils whose means	Degrees			Significance of
are	of	"Student's"	TO THE RESERVE THE RESERVE THE	difference
compared	freedom	t	Probability	between means
Grayfish and soupfin shark	140	5.61	less than 0.001	highly significant
Grayfish and sablefish	63	14.23	less than 0.001	very highly significant
Grayfish and halibut	122	24.10	less than 0.001	very highly significant
Soupfin shark and sablefish .	135	25.78	less than 0.001	very highly significant
Soupfin shark and halibut	194	41.73	less than 0.001	very highly significant
Sablefish and halibut	117	4.10	less than 0.001	highly significant

