Microsatellite multiplex panels for genetic studies of gray snapper (*Lutjanus griseus*) and lane snapper (*Lutjanus synagris*)

Mark A. Renshaw Eric Saillant Siya Lem Philip Berry John R. Gold

Email address for M. A. Renshaw: mrenshaw@ag.tamu.edu

Center for Biosystematics and Biodiversity Texas A&M University, TAMU-2258 College Station, Texas 77843-2258

Microsatellites are codominantly inherited nuclear-DNA markers (Wright and Bentzen, 1994) that are now commonly used to assess both stock structure and the effective population size of exploited fishes (Turner et al., 2002; Chistiakov et al., 2006; Saillant and Gold, 2006). Multiplexing is the combination of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification products from multiple loci into a single lane of an electrophoretic gel (Olsen et al., 1996; Neff et al., 2000) and is accomplished either by co-amplification of multiple loci in a single reaction (Chamberlain et al., 1988) or by combination of products from multiple single-locus PCR amplifications (Olsen et al., 1996). The advantage of multiplexing microsatellites lies in the significant reduction in both personnel time (labor) and consumable supplies generally required for large genotyping projects (Neff et al., 2000; Renshaw et al., 2006).

In this note, we report the development of multiplex panels of microsatellites that will facilitate population-level genetic studies of both gray (Lutjanus griseus) and lane (L. synagris) snappers. The overexploitation of Gulf red snapper (L. campechanus) in U.S. waters and the increasing restrictions on both commercial and recreational red snapper catches (Gillig et al., 2001) have led to increased fishing pressure on other snapper species (Fischer et al.,

2005), including both gray (Burton, 2001; Fischer et al., 2005) and lane snappers (GMFMC¹). Although neither species has yet been classified as "overfished" or subject to "overfishing," the increased exploitation of the two species could jeopardize these snapper resources in the future. In this study, we optimized multiplex panels for gray and lane snappers from among microsatellite markers designed originally for red snapper by Gold et al. (2001) and vermillion snapper (*Rhomboplites aurorubens*) by Bagley and Geller (1998).

Materials and methods

Samples of gray and lane snappers were obtained off the west coast of Florida during April of 2004. Fin clips and pieces of liver were preserved in 95% ethanol, brought to the laboratory, and stored at room temperature. Genomic DNA was extracted by using an alkaline-lysis method (Saillant et al., 2002) and stored at -20°C.

Microsatellites were first evaluated in single-locus (simplex) reactions in order to determine the size range and ease of scoring of PCR products in each species. PCR amplifications were performed in 11.5-μL volumes comprising 1.5 µL of DNA (approximately 50 ng), 1 µL of 10× reaction buffer [50 mm KCl, 10 mm Tris, 1% Triton-X 100], 0.75 U Tag DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 200 μm of each dNTP, 1 mm MgCl₂ and various quantities of PCR primers. One PCR primer of each pair was labeled with one of three fluorescent dyes from set D (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA): FAM, HEX, or Ned. Fragment analysis was carried out on an ABI PRISM 377 automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Allele size was estimated by using the GENESCAN-400HD [Rox] size standard (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA); allele size estimation was performed with Genescan 3.1.2 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and allele calling was performed in Genotyper, version 2.5 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Multiplex tests were performed only on those microsatellites that amplified successfully and yielded PCR products that were easy to score; loci not meeting these criteria were eliminated from subsequent analyses.

Initial multiplex PCR amplifications were performed by using the three multiplex panels designed to amplify 20 microsatellite loci in red snapper (Renshaw et al., 2006). At first, PCR primer concentrations followed those outlined in Renshaw et al. (2006), and changes were made according to the relative success of amplifications at each microsatellite within a particular multiplex. Microsatellites that failed to amplify in multiplex reactions were optimized in simplex reactions. Additional PCR

Manuscript submitted 14 September 2006 to the Scientific Editor's Office.

Manuscript approved for publication 9 November 2006 by the Scientific Editor. Fish. Bull. 105:436-439 (2007).

¹ GMFMC (Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council). 2005. Final amendment to the FMPs for: reef fish (Amendment 25) and coastal migratory pelagics (Amendment 17) for extending the charter vessel/headboat permit moratorium (including SEIS/RIR/IRFA), 111 p. Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 3018 North U.S. Highway 301, Suite 1000, Tampa, FL 33619-2272.

Table 1

Technical details on amplification of microsatellite loci in gray snapper ($Lutjanus\ griseus$) and lane snapper ($L.\ synagris$). Included in the table are the multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) panel, primer quantities, and fluorescent dye labels (Set D; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). PCR protocols, including appropriate annealing temperatures, correspond to those outlined in Renshaw et al. (2006). Primer sequences for Lca and Prs microsatellites are given in Gold et al. (2001); those for Ra microsatellites are given in Bagley and Geller (1998).

Species	Panel	Microsatellite	Quantity (pmol)	ABI dye	PCR protocol
Lutjanus griseus	1	Lca 20	5	Fам	Touchdown II
		Lca~43	4	Fam	56°
		Prs~260	1.5	Fam	53°
		Ra~1	3.5	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}$	50°
	2	Prs~137	7	Fam	Touchdown II
		Prs~275	6	Fam	54°
		Prs~328	0.8	Fam	$52^{\rm o}$
		Ra~6	2	NED	50°
	3	Lca~22	2.5	Fam	Touchdown I
		Lca~91	8	Fam	$56^{\circ} - 50.5^{\circ}$
		Lca~107	6.5	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}$	50°
	simplex	Prs~221	5	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}$	Same as panel 2
	simplex	Prs~240	5	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{x}$	Same as panel 3
	simplex	Ra~7	5	NED	Same as panel 3
Lutjanus synagris	1	Lca~20	5	Fam	Touchdown II
		Prs~248	5	$N_{ m ED}$	52°
		Prs~260	1.5	Fam	50°
		Prs~303	1.5	$N_{ m ED}$	48°
		Ra~1	7.5	$N_{ m ED}$	
		Ra~4	5	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{X}$	
	2	Prs~275	4	Fam	Touchdown II
		Prs~328	1	Fam	52°
		Ra~2	3.5	Fam	50°
		Ra~6	3	NED	480
	3	Lca~22	1	Fam	Touchdown II
		Lca~91	8	Fam	$52^{\rm o}$
		Prs~240	9	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{x}$	50°
		Prs~333	3	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{x}$	480
		Ra~7	5	$N_{ m ED}$	

primers (Ra 1, Ra 2, and Ra 4) designed by Bagley and Geller (1998) for vermillion snapper microsatellites were tested in simplex reactions as described above and labeled with one fluorescent dye to allow integration into one of the three multiplex panels.

Multiplex PCR amplifications initially followed the Touchdown I and II protocols outlined in Renshaw et al. (2006). Touchdown PCR protocols enable the amplification of multiple loci with different optimal annealing temperatures. The former (Touchdown I) protocol involved a one-half degree drop in annealing temperature with each subsequent cycle, for a total of 12 cycles, followed by 30 cycles at a bottom annealing temperature that was 6°C below the initial annealing temperature; the latter (Touchdown II) protocol featured a three-step drop in annealing temperature with seven cycles at an initial annealing temperature, followed by seven cycles at a lower annealing temperature, followed by 28 cycles

at a bottom annealing temperature that was $4-6^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ below the initial annealing temperature. Changes were made to optimize multiplex panels individually for each species. These changes included 1) removal of various primers and addition of others, and 2) modification of annealing temperatures and species-specific differences in primer concentrations.

Once multiplex or simplex protocols were developed for the two species, DNA from 28 individuals of each species was assayed across all optimized loci. Genetic variability of microsatellites in each species was measured by the number of alleles, expected heterozygosity (gene diversity), and observed heterozygosity. Fisher's exact test was used to evaluate loci for significant departures from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium expectations. Three common causes for genotyping errors—null alleles, large allele dropout, and stuttering—were assessed with Micro-Checker (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004).

438 Fishery Bulletin 105(3)

Table 2

Summary data for microsatellites amplified from 28 gray snapper ($Lutjanus\ griseus$) and 28 lane snapper ($L.\ synagris$). Data for each microsatellite include number of alleles (N_A), size range (in base pairs) of detected alleles, expected (H_E) and observed (H_O) heterozygosity, and probability (P_{HW}) of conformity to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium expectations. Lca and Prs microsatellites were developed initially for red snapper ($L.\ campechanus$) (Gold et al., 2001), and Ra microsatellites were developed initially for vermillion snapper ($Rhombloplites\ aurorubens$) (Bagley and Geller, 1998).

Species	Microsatellite	$N_{\!A}$	Size range	H_E/H_O	P_{HV}
Lutjanus griseus	Lca~20	4	216-222	0.707/0.643	0.72
	Lca~22	5	242-252	0.593/0.500	0.05
	Lca~43	2	176-188	0.195/0.214	1.00
	Lca~91	2	134-136	0.036/0.036	1.00
	Lca~107	6	112-144	0.771/0.750	0.26
	Prs~137	7	127-141	0.794/0.679	0.07
	Prs~221	4	235-245	0.566/0.714	0.44
	Prs~240	4	210-220	0.642/0.679	0.96
	Prs~260	9	105-129	0.847/1.000	0.06
	Prs~275	5	156-168	0.548/0.571	0.34
	Prs~328	3	202-206	0.527/0.464	0.84
	Ra~1	7	149-171	0.594/0.571	0.08
	Ra~6	15	113-155	0.926/0.679	0.00
	Ra~7	3	154-158	0.200/0.143	0.12
Lutjanus synagris	Lca~20	12	234-262	0.810/0.571	0.00
	Lca~22	8	234-256	0.712/0.679	0.25
	Lca 91	7	139-153	0.601/0.679	0.26
	Prs~240	5	192-202	0.592/0.538	0.48
	Prs~248	17	224-260	0.938/0.893	0.40
	Prs~260	4	92-120	0.292/0.321	1.00
	Prs~275	2	154-156	0.036/0.036	1.00
	Prs~303	4	135-141	0.690/0.643	0.72
	Prs~328	5	198-214	0.631/0.571	0.49
	Prs~333	12	152-180	0.870/0.821	0.32
	Ra~1	9	141-163	0.806/0.893	0.58
	Ra~2	6	82-100	0.704/0.821	0.97
	Ra~4	9	59-99	0.787/0.821	0.66
	Ra~6	4	118-124	0.384/0.464	0.77
	Ra~7	4	177-187	0.612/0.536	0.57

Results and discussion

A total of 14 microsatellites (11 in three multiplex panels and 3 in simplex reactions) were optimized for gray snappers, and 15 microsatellites (in three multiplex panels) were optimized for lane snappers. The multiplex and simplex formats are presented in Table 1 and include for each microsatellite the fluorescent label (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), primer quantity, PCR protocol, and optimized annealing temperatures. Genotype summary data from 28 individuals (in both species) are given in Table 2; data for each microsatellite include number of alleles, size range of alleles detected, expected and observed heterozygosity, and probability of conformity to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium expectations.

For gray snappers, the number of alleles per microsatellite ranged from two (*Lca* 43 and *Lca* 91) to 15 (*Ra* 6), and expected and observed heterozygosity per microsat-

ellite ranged from 0.036 (Lca 91) to 0.926 (Ra 6) and from 0.036 (Lca 91) to 1.000 (Prs 260), respectively. Only one microsatellite (Ra 6) deviated significantly (P<0.05) from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium expectations after sequential Bonferroni correction (Rice, 1989). Analysis with Micro-Checker (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004) indicated the possible occurrence of null alleles at Ra 6.

For lane snappers, the number of alleles per microsatellite ranged from two $(Prs\ 275)$ to $17\ (Prs\ 248)$, and expected and observed heterozygosity per microsatellite ranged from $0.036\ (Prs\ 275)$ to $0.938\ (Prs\ 248)$ and from $0.036\ (Prs\ 275)$ to $0.893\ (Prs\ 248$ and $Ra\ 1)$, respectively. None of the 15 microsatellites deviated significantly from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium expectations after sequential Bonferroni correction (Rice, 1989). Analysis with Micro-Checker indicated the possibility of null alleles at one microsatellite ($Lca\ 20$) where the probability

of deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium expectations was significant (P=0.009) before Bonferroni correction. One locus, Lca 91, exhibited a one base-pair shift (rather than the two base-pair shifts expected from dinucleotide repeats) in samples of lane snapper; this difference in shift could generate scoring problems in future genotyping efforts.

The costs of large genotyping projects can be substantially reduced through the combination of multiple loci in single PCR amplifications (Renshaw et al., 2006). The reduction in costs could enable researchers to increase sample sizes, possibly allowing for the inclusion of additional year classes for temporal studies or could extend a proposed sampling range to include more of the geographic distribution of a species. The multiplex panels and simplex reactions developed in the present study will provide critical tools for future population-level genetic studies designed to identify fishery management units and to monitor changes in genetic effective population size for both gray and lane snappers.

Acknowledgments

We thank the crew of the RV *Tommy Munro* (Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute) and M. Murphy, B. Mahmoudi, and R. Lehnert for assistance in sampling, and C. Bradfield and D. Ebelt for assistance in the laboratory. Work was supported by the Marfin Program of the U.S. Department of Commerce (Grant NA04-NMF-4330074), and by the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station (Project H-6703). This article is number 54 in the series "Genetic Studies in Marine Fishes" and is contribution number 147 of the Center for Biosystematics and Biodiversity at Texas A&M University.

Literature cited

Bagley, M. J., and J. B. Geller.

1998. Characterization of microsatellite loci in the vermillion snapper *Rhomboplites aurorubens* (Percoidei: Lutjanidae). Mol. Ecol. 7:1089-1090.

Burton, M. L.

2001. Age, growth, and mortality of gray snapper, *Lut-janus griseus*, from the east coast of Florida. Fish. Bull. 99:254-265.

Chamberlain, J. S., R. A. Gibbs, J. E. Ranier, P. N. Nguyen, and C. T. Caskey.

1988. Deletion screening of the Duchenne muscular dystrophy locus via multiplex DNA amplification. Nucleic Acids Res. 16:11141–11156.

Chistiakov, D. A., B. Hellemans, and F. A. M. Volckaert.

2006. Microsatellites and their genomic distribution, evolution, function and applications: a review with special reference to fish genetics. Aquaculture 255:1–29.

Fischer, A. J., M. S. Baker Jr., C. A. Wilson, and D. L. Nieland.

2005. Age, growth, mortality, and radiometric age validation of gray snapper (*Lutjanus griseus*) from Louisiana. Fish. Bull. 103:307-319.

Gillig, D., W. L. Griffin, and T. Ozuna Jr.

2001. A bioeconomic assessment of Gulf of Mexico red snapper management policies. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 130:117-129.

Gold, J. R., E. Pak, and L. R. Richardson.

2001. Microsatellite variation among red snapper (Lutjanus campechanus) from the Gulf of Mexico. Mar. Biotechnol. 3:293-304.

Neff, B. D., P. Fu, and M. R. Gross.

2000. Microsatellite multiplexing in fish. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 129:584-593.

Olsen, J. B., J. K. Wenburg, and P. Bentzen.

1996. Semiautomated multilocus genotyping of Pacific salmon (*Oncorhynchus* spp.) using microsatellites. Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol. 5:259-72.

Renshaw, M. A., E. Saillant, S. C. Bradfield, and J. R. Gold.

2006. Microsatellite multiplex panels for genetic studies of three species of marine fishes: red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*), red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*), and cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*). Aquaculture 253:731-735.

Rice, W. R.

1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43:223-225.

Saillant, E., A. Fostier, P. Haffrey, B. Menu, J. Thimonier, and B. Chatain.

2002. Temperature effects and genotype-temperature interactions on sex determination in the European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax L.*). J. Exp. Zool. 292:494-505.

Saillant, E., and J. R. Gold.

2006. Population structure and variance effective size of red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Fish. Bull. 104:136-148.

Turner, T. F., J. P. Wares, and J. R. Gold.

2002. Genetic effective size is three orders of magnitude smaller than adult census size in an abundant, estuarine-dependent marine fish (*Sciaenops ocellatus*). Genetics 162:1329–1339.

Van Oosterhout, C., W. F. Hutchinson, D. P. M. Willis, and P. Shipley.

2004. MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Mol. Ecol. Notes 4:535–538.

Wright, A. J., and P. Bentzen.

1994. Microsatellites: genetic markers for the future. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 4:384-388.