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#### Abstract

The five copepodid stages and adults of Leptinogaster major (Williams, 1907), a poecilostomatoid copepod (family Clausididae) living in the mantle cavity of Mya arenaria L. and other marine bivalve mollusks along the coast of eastern North America from Prince Edward Island, Canada, to Louisiana, are described. Copepodid I is Saphirella-like in body form. In the adult female the maxilliped is present though much reduced. Sexual differentiation first occurs in Copepodid IV, where the male and female maxillipeds are differently formed.


The poecilostomatoid copepod Leptinogaster (= Myocheres) major (Williams, 1907) has been reported from the mantle cavity of various marine bivalve mollusks along the eastern shore of North America, from Prince Edward Island, Canada (J. C. Medcof in correspondence with M. S. Wilson) to Louisiana (Causey 1953). This copepod has undergone several name changes, but it seems generally agreed now that it properly belongs in the genus Leptinogaster (see Bocquet and Stock 1958, and Table 1). The seasonal population changes and host relationships of this species have been described by Humes and Cressey (1960), who listed as hosts Mya arenaria L., Tagelus gibbus (Spengler), Venus mercenaria L., and Ensis directus (Conrad). Other hosts include Mactra solidissima Dillwyn (reported by Williams 1907), Dosinia gibbus Reeve (reported by Pearse

TABLE 1.-Taxonomic history of Leptinogastor major (Williams, 1907).
Lichomolgus major Williams, 1907, p. 77, pl. Ill, 8 figs.; Sharpe 1910, p. 408, placed in Lichomolgidae.

Myicola major, C. B. Wilson, 1932, p. 347, fig. 208, genus wrongly assigned; Monod and Dollfus 1934, p.316, placed in Clausijdae; Deevey 1948, p. 22, 1960, p. 34; Sewell 1949, p. 156, placed in Lichomolgidae; Causey 1953, p. 12.
Myicola spinosa Pearse, 1947, p. 5, figs. 26-31, placed in Myicolidae.
Myocheres major, M. S. Wilson, 1950, p. 299; M. S. Wilson and Illg 1955, p. 136, 138; Allen 1956, p. 62, placed tentatively in Lichomolgidae; Bocquet and Stock 1957a, p. 213, 221, placed in Clausidildae; Humes and Cressey 1958, p. 932, 934, placed in Clausididae; Båcescu and Por 1959, p. 20, placed in Clausidilidae; Humes and Cressey 1960, p. 307-325.
Lepthogaster major, Bocquet and Stock, 1958, p. 86-88, placed in Clausididae; Gooding 1963, p. 132-136, pl.17, figs. a-n.

[^0]1947), and Pholas costata L. (reported by Causey 1953). For a list of bivalve hosts and localities see Table 2.

The copepodid development of Leptinogaster has not been fully described. Bocquet and Stock (1958) mentioned finding copepodids of Leptinogaster histrio (Pelseneer 1929) and figured the maxillipeds of an unknown stage (their fig. 3d, e); they also reported a Copepodid V of Leptinogaster sp . and il-

TABLE 2.-Localities and hosts of Leptinogastor major.

| Locally | Host(s) | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ellerslie, Prince |  |  |
| Edward Island, | Mya arenaria L. | J. C. Medcof in <br> correspondence <br> (23 May 1950) <br> with M. S. Wilson |
| Bideford River, | Mya arenaria L. | J. C. Medcof in <br> correspondence <br> Prince Edward |
| Island, Can. |  |  |

lustrated its maxilliped (their fig. 6b, c). Gooding (1963) described features of Copepodid I of Leptinogaster major.

Before her death Mildred S. Wilson had studied specimens of Leptinogaster (= Myocheres) major that had been sent to her from Rhode Island and Prince Edward Island, and had prepared the first draft of a redescription. She recognized the need for a thorough redescription of this species whose original description by Williams (1907) is very incomplete. Although she wrote (1950) that a detailed description of adults and developmental forms was then in preparation, this study apparently was never completed. In a letter to J. C. Medcof dated 24 August 1948 she stated that she had found two early stages of Myocheres. Presumably descriptions of these copepodids would have been part of her projected study if she had lived.

During the study by Humes and Cressey (1960) a large number of Leptinogaster major $(1,535)$ were collected from Mya arenaria over a period of almost 2 yr at Cotuit, MA. The copepodids and adults described below came from collections made during the summer of 1957. All five copepodid stages, distinguished on the basis of the number of body segments, as well as adults, were obtained. This paper deals with the detailed description of the external morphology of these immature stages and adults.

Although the copepodids described here were not obtained by rearing, it seems certain that the copepodids found in such large numbers are those of Leptinogaster major. No other species of copepods occurred in the Mya arenaria examined.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The copepodids and adults described here were selected from a pool of 305 copepodids and 195 adults found in 125 Mya arenaria during MaySeptember at Cotuit, MA. The successive Copepodids I-V and the adults were cleared in lactic acid and sorted by size and external morphology into their respective groups.

All measurements and dissections were made on specimens cleared in lactic acid, following the method of Humes and Gooding (1964). The body length does not include the setae on the caudal rami. The measurements of certain parts, such as the length of the first antenna, maxilliped, and various setae and claws, and the dimensions of leg 5 , the caudal ramus, and the urosomal segments, are based on dissected specimens from which the drawings were made, and may be considered representative
of nearly average body size. Such measurements are intended more to show relative changes in size during successive instars rather than to represent absolute size. The drawings were made with the aid of a camera lucida. The abbreviations used are as follows: $\mathrm{A}_{1}=$ first antenna, $\mathrm{A}_{2}=$ second antenna, $\mathrm{L}=$ labrum, $\mathrm{MD}=$ mandible, $\mathrm{MX}_{1}=$ first maxilla, $\mathrm{MX}_{2}=$ second maxilla, $\mathrm{P}_{3}=\operatorname{leg} 3, \mathrm{P}_{4}=\operatorname{leg} 4$, and $P_{5}=\operatorname{leg} 5$.

## DESCRIPTIONS

## Copepodid I

## Figures 1a-n, 2a-c

Size-Length $0.57 \mathrm{~mm}(0.45-0.60 \mathrm{~mm})$ and greatest width $0.17 \mathrm{~mm}(0.16-0.18 \mathrm{~mm})$ based on 38 specimens.

Body form (Fig. 1a, b, c)--Saphirella-like, with cephalosome bluntly pointed anteriorly. Five body segments including and posterior to segment bearing leg 1. Anal segment with 4 groups of spines, 2 ventral groups and 2 ventrolateral groups (Fig. 1d).

Caudal ramus (Fig. 1e).-Relatively short, $36 \times 18$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$, ratio 2:1, with 6 setae. Outer lateral seta $18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, dorsal seta $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4$ terminal setae from outer to inner 23, 17, 39, and $176 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, the last with minute lateral spinules.

Rostrum (Fig. 1f)--Broad ridge, prominent in lateral view (Fig. 1g).

First antenna (Fig. 1h).-Five-segmented, $83 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Armature: $2,2,3+1$ aesthete, $2+1$ aesthete, and $5+1$ aesthete. All setae smooth.

Second antenna (Fig. 1i).-Indistinctly 4-segmented, last segment obscure. First segment with 1 distal seta. Second segment with 1 seta and group of small spines. Third segment with outer row of spines and 2 slender inner setules, with outer stout curved seta having expanded serrate distal half and 1 short inner blunt seta. Fourth segment small and indistinctly set off from third segment, with 1 blunt short seta, 1 long stout smooth seta, 1 slender smooth seta, and 1 long stout seta with prominent lateral setules.

Labrum (Fig. 1j).-Broad, with ventral surface bearing 2 medially interrupted rows of spines and with posteroventral margin having row of small


Figure 1.-Copepodid I of Leptinogaster major. a, dorsal (scale A); b, ventral (A); c, lateral (A); d, anal segment, ventral (B); e caudal ramus, dorsal (C); $f$, rostral area, ventral (D); g , rostral area, lateral (B); $h$, first antenna, dorsal (D); $i$, second antenna, dorsal (C); $j$, labrum, in situ, ventral (C); $k$, mandible, ventral ( $C$ ); lirst maxilla, ventral ( $C$ ); m, second maxilla, ventral (C); $n$, maxilliped, posterior (C).
spines, these spines becoming much larger at both corners.

Mandible (Fig. 1k).-Simple form, small, about 42 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, with expanded base but slender distal portion bearing 2 minute setae midway and having minutely pectinate tip.

Paragnath.-Minute smooth lobe.
First maxilla (Fig. 11).--Small lobe bearing 6 setae.
Second maxilla (Fig. 1m).-Two-segmented, large first segment with 2 setae, small second segment with 3 setae.

Maxilliped (Fig. 1n).-Elongate, slender, 4 -segmented. First segment with 2 setae. Elongate second segment with 2 setae and 2 small setules. Small third segment with 1 long seta having few prominent lateral setules. Fourth segment bearing 3 setae near midregion and extended beyond as setiform process with few minute barbs near tip.

Leg 1 (Fig. 2a).-Both rami 1-segmented. Formula for armature: coxa $0-0$; basis $1-0$; exopod III,I,4; endopod 1,5,1. Exopod with 3 outer spines having prominent lateral spinules and terminal outer spine and adjacent seta with outer denticulations.

Leg 2 (Fig. 2b).-Both rami 1-segmented. Armature: coxa $0-0$; basis $1-0$; exopod III,I,3; endopod III,2,1. Exopod spines with lateral spinules or denticulations as in leg 1 ; endopod spines finely barbed.

Leg 3 (Fig. 2c).-Consisting of 2 setae, 70 and 57 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, with 2 very small spines near their insertions.

Legs 4, 5, and 6.-Absent.

## Copepodid II

Figures 2d-m, 3a-e
Size-Length $0.68 \mathrm{~mm}(0.59-0.72 \mathrm{~mm})$ and greatest width $0.19 \mathrm{~mm}(0.18-0.20 \mathrm{~mm})$, based on 31 specimens.

Body form (Fig. 2d).-No longer Saphirella-like. Suggesting form of later instars. Six body segments including and posterior to segment bearing leg 1. Segment bearing leg 4 ventrally with 2 transverse rows of spines (Fig. 2e). Anal segment ventrally with distal spines in addition to 4 groups of proximal
spines. Ventrolateral areas of cephalosome at level of mouthparts with strip of small spinules (Fig. 3a).

Caudal ramus.-Similar to Copepodid I but few small ventral spines distally.

Rostrum (Fig. 2f).-Suggesting rounded form seen in later instars.

First antenna (Fig. 2g).-Five-segmented, $107 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Armature: 2, 3, 3+1 aesthete, $2+1$ aesthete, and $6+1$ aesthete

Second antenna (Fig. 2h).-Four-segmented. Third segment with 2 strong recurved outer clawlike spines. Small fourth segment with 4 smooth setae, 2 middle setae curved.

Labrum (Fig. 2i).-Posteroventral margin sharply pointed. No surficial or marginal ornamentation.

Mandible (Fig. 2j).-Elongate, $43 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, distally with 3 elements, 2 helmet-shaped and 1 stoutly spiniform, all with minute marginal barbs.

Paragnath.-As an adult (see Fig. 7f).
First maxilla (Fig. 2k).-Small lobe bearing 5 setae.
Second maxilla (Fig. 21).-Two-segmented, its form suggesting later instars. First segment expanded with outer patch of small spines. Second segment clawlike, $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, with 1 inner seta.

Maxilliped (Fig. 2m).-Delicately sclerotized and weakly 4 -segmented, length $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Relative positions of maxillipeds and head appendages as in Figure 3 a .

Leg 1 (Fig. 3b).-Both rami 2-segmented. Armature: coxa 0-0; basis 1-I; exopod I-0; III,5; endopod $0-1 ;$ I,5.

Leg 2 (Fig. 3c).-Both rami 2 -segmented. Armature: coxa $0-0$; basis $1-0$; exopod I-0; III,4; endopod $0-1 ;$ III,3.

Leg 3 (Fig. 3d).-Both rami 1-segmented. Armature: coxa 0-0; basis 1-0; exopod III,4; endopod III,3.

Leg 4 (Fig. 3e).-Consisting of 2 setae, 52 and 39 $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

Legs 5 and 6.-Absent.


Figure 2.-Copepodid I of Leptinogaster major, a-c: a, leg 1 and intercoxal plate, anterior (scale B); b, leg 2 and intercoxal plate, anterior (B); c leg 3, dorsal (B). Copepodid II of Leptinogaster major, d-m: d, dorsal (E); e, body posterior to leg 4, ventral (F); f, rostral area, ventral (B); g, first antenna, dorsal (D); h, second antenna, anteromesial (C); i, labrum, ventral (D); j, mandible, ventral (G); $k$, first maxilla, anterior (C); 1, second maxilla, posteroventral (C); m, maxilliped (C).

## Copepodid III

Figures 3f-k, 4a-d
Size-Length $0.85 \mathrm{~mm}(0.72-0.95 \mathrm{~mm})$ and greatest width $0.24 \mathrm{~mm}(0.21-0.26 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), based on 37 specimens.

Body form (Fig. 3f)- -Spinules on ventral surface of segment of leg 5 (Fig. 3g) continuous across segment. Seven body segments including and posterior to segment bearing leg 1 . (One specimen, $0.62 \times 0.24$ mm , with segments behind leg 4 telescoped as in Figure 3h.)

First antenna (Fig. 3i).-Five-segmented, $145 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Armature: $3,10,3+1$ aesthete, $2+1$ aesthete, and $7+1$ aesthete.

Second antenna (Fig. 3j).-Similar to Copepodid II but outermost seta on fourth segment longer and recurved.

Maxilliped.-As in Copepodid II.
Leg 1 (Fig. 3k).-Both rami 2-segmented. Armature: coxa 0-0, basis 1-I; exopod I-0; III, 5; endopod $0-1 ; 1,6$.

Leg 2 (Fig. 4a).-Both rami 2-segmented. Armature: coxa $0-0$; basis $1-0$; exopod I-0; III,6; endopod $0-1$; III,4.

Leg 3 (Fig. 4b).-Both rami 2-segmented. Armature: coxa $0-0$, basis $1-0$; exopod $0-1 ; \mathrm{II}, 5$; endopod 0-1; III,3.

Leg 4 (Fig. 4c).-Both rami 1-segmented. Armature: coxa $0-0$; basis $1-0$; exopod II,4; endopod III,3. (Distal outer seta on exopod somewhat spiniform.)

Leg 5 (Fig. 4d).-Represented by 2 setae, 42 and $29 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Leg 6.-Absent.

## Copepodid IV, female

Figures 4e-k, 5a-c
Size-Length $1.19 \mathrm{~mm}(0.93-1.33 \mathrm{~mm})$ and greatest width $0.32 \mathrm{~mm}(0.28-0.35 \mathrm{~mm})$, based on 42 specimens.

Body form (Fig. 4e).-Eight body segments including and posterior to segment bearing leg 1. Spinules on ventral surface of segment bearing leg 5 and on anal segment (Fig. 4f) as in Copepodid III.

First antenna (Fig. 4g).-Five-segmented, $179 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, but slight notch on posterior edge of second segment suggesting division of segment. Armature: $4,15,4+1$ aesthete, $2+1$ aesthete, and $7+1$ aesthete.

Maxilliped (Fig. 4h).-Two-segmented, weakly sclerotized, distal segment lobelike. Relative position of maxillipeds as in Figure 4i.

Leg 1.-Both rami 2 -segmented. Armature (as in Copepodid III): coxa 0-0; basis 1-0; exopod I-0; III,5; endopod 0-1; $I, 6$.

Leg 2 (Fig. 4j).-Both rami 2 -segmented. Armature: coxa $0-0$; basis $1-0$; exopod I-0; III,6; endopod $0-1 ;$ II,6. Distalmost outer seta on endopod somewhat spiniform.

Leg 3 (Fig. 4k).-Both rami 2 -segmented. Armature: coxa 0-0; basis 1-0; exopod I-0; III,6; endopod $0-1 ;$ IL,5. Distalmost outer seta on endopod somewhat spiniform.

Leg 4 (Fig. 5a).-Both rami 2 -segmented. Armature: coxa $0-0$; basis 1-0; exopod I-0; III,5; endopod $0-1$; III,3.

Leg 5 (Fig. 5b).-Two-segmented, but first segment, armed with 1 seta, not clearly set off from body; second segment oval, $60 \times 30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, bearing 3 spines and 1 seta, with few small spinules near insertion of proximalmost and distalmost spines.

Leg 6 (Fig. 5c).-Represented by 1 seta $32 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, with minute spinules near insertion.

## Copepodid IV, male

Figure 5d-g
Size-Length $1.07 \mathrm{~mm}(0.90-1.19 \mathrm{~mm})$ and greatest width $0.28 \mathrm{~mm}(0.25-0.31 \mathrm{~mm})$, based on 38 specimens.

Body form.-As in female, with same number of body segments and similar arrangement of ventral spinules (Fig. 5d).


Ftgure 3.-Copepodid II of Leptinogaster major, a-e: a, cephalosome, ventral (scale F); b, leg 1 and intercoxal plate, anterior (B); c, leg 2 and intercoxal plate, anterior (B); d, leg 3 and intercoxal plate, anterior (B); e, leg 4, ventral (B). Copepodid III of Leptinogaster major, f-k: f, dorsal (E); g, body posterior to leg 4, ventral (A); h, posterior part of body showing telescoped segments, dorsal (A); i, first antenna, ventral (B); j, second antenna, anteromesial (D); k, leg 1 and intercoxal plate, anterior (B).


Figure 4.-Copepodid III of Leptinogaster major, a-d: a, leg 2 and intercoxal plate, anterior (scale B); b, leg 3 and intercoxal plate, anterior (B); c, leg 4 and intercoxal plate, anterior (B); d, leg 5, ventral (B). Copepodid IV of Leptinogaster major, female, e-k: e, dorsal (H); f, urosome, ventral (E); g, first antenna, ventral (B); $h$, maxilliped, ventral (C); $i$, ventral region from second maxillae to first pair of legs, showing maxillipeds (F); j, leg 2 and intercoxal plate, anterior (F); $k$, leg 3 and intercoxal plate, anterior (F).


Figure 5.-Copepodid IV of Leptinogaster major, female a-c: a, leg 4 and intercoxal plate, anterior (scale F); b, leg 5, lateral (D); c, leg 6, ventral (D); male, d-g. d, urosome, ventral (A); e maxilliped, ventral (C); f, ventral region from second maxillae to first pair of legs, showing maxillipeds (F); g. leg 5, dorsal (D). Copepodid V of Leptinogaster major, female, h-m: h, dorsal (H); i, urosome, ventral ( E ); j, first antenna, posteroventral (B); $k$, maxilliped, ventral (C); l, leg 4 and intercoxal plate, anterior (A); m, leg 5, dorsal (F).

Maxilliped (Fig. 5e).-Three-segmented, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, with small pointed third segment weakly set off from second segment and bearing 2 small setae. Relative position of maxillipeds as in Figure $5 f$.

Leg 5 (Fig. 5g). -Two-segmented. First segment set off from body. Second segment $55 \times 29 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Armature similar to female.

Leg 6 (Fig. 5d).-Represented by single seta.

## Copepodid V, female

Figures 5h-m, 6a-c
Size-Length $1.62 \mathrm{~mm}(1.42-1.87 \mathrm{~mm})$ and greatest width $0.41 \mathrm{~mm}(0.37-0.44 \mathrm{~mm})$, based on 13 specimens.

Body form (Fig. 5h).-Nine body segments including and posterior to segment bearing leg 1 . Segment of leg 5 and more posterior segments as in Figure 5i.

Caudal ramus (Fig. 5i).-Noticeably longer than in preceding instars.

First antenna (Fig. 5j).-Incompletely 6 -segmented. Armature: $5,15+9,4+1$ aesthete, $2+1$ aesthete, and $7+1$ aesthete.

Maxilliped (Fig. 5k).-Reduced to slightly raised lobe with 2 small setae.

Leg 1.- Both rami 3-segmented. Armature (as in adult): coxa 0-0; basis 1-I; exopod I-0; I-1; III,5; endopod 0-1, 0-1; I,5.

Legs 2 and 3.-Both rami 3-segmented. Armature (as in adult): coxa 0-0; basis 1-0; exopod I-0; I-1; III,6; endopod 0-1; 0-2; III,3.

Leg 4 (Fig. 5l).-Both rami 3-segmented. Armature: coxa 0-0, basis 1-0; exopod I-0; I-1; III,5; endopod 0-1; 0-1; III,2. Distalmost spine on exopod more slender than other exopod spines; outer of 2 terminal spines on endopod only about one-half length of inner terminal spine.

Leg 5 (Fig. 5m).-Second segment $99 \times 49 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Few outer spinules on first segment. Two groups of spinules on inner side of second segment. Principal armature as in Copepodid IV.

Leg 6 (Fig. 5 i ).-Represented by 1 seta with minute spinule near its insertion.

## Copepodid V, male

Figure 6a-c
Size-Length $1.41 \mathrm{~mm}(1.22-1.57 \mathrm{~mm})$ and greatest width $0.34 \mathrm{~mm}(0.31-0.39 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), based on 30 specimens.

Body form.-As in female, with same number of body segments. Similar arrangement of ventral spinnules on urosomal segments (Fig. 6a).

Maxilliped (Fig. 6b).-Four-segmented. First segment with 1 inner seta. Long second segment and short third segment unarmed. Pointed fourth segment with 2 setae.

Legs 1-4.-Similar to those of female. Endopod of leg 2 (Fig. 6c) not showing sexual dimorphism.

Leg 5.-As in female; second segment $75 \times 31 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Leg 6.-Represented by single seta with few very small spinules near its insertion.

## Adult Female

Figures 6d-m, 7a-1, 8a-e, 9a-j
Size-Length 2.18 mm (1.92-2.45 mm) and greatest width $0.52 \mathrm{~mm}(0.47-0.56 \mathrm{~mm})$, based on 10 specimens. Dorsoventral thickness at level of leg 1, 0.25 mm .

Body form (Fig. 6d, e).-Elongate and flattened dorsoventrally. Nine body segments including and posterior to segment bearing leg 1 . Urosome 5 -segmented (Fig. 6f). Segment bearing leg $5220 \times 319$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in dorsal view, smooth on dorsal surface, but ventral surface with transverse groups of spines (Fig. 6 g ); dorsally this segment with posterodorsal hump (Fig. 6e, h). Genital segment $270 \times 264 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, wider in anterior half than in posterior half. Three postgenital segments from anterior to posterior $143 \times$ 165 , $143 \times 160$, and $200 \times 143 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Genital areas situated dorsolaterally, each area (Fig. 6i) bearing 2 very small setae about $16 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Ventral surfaces of genital segment and first and second postgenital segments smooth. Anal segment ventrally with few small spines at postero-outer corners, with row of 5 spines on each side distally, and with 2


Figure 6.-Copepodid V of Leptinogaster major, male, a-c: a, urosome, ventral (scale E); b, maxilliped, ventral (D); c, endopod of leg 2, anterior (F). Adult female of Leptinogaster major, d-m: d, dorsal (I), e, lateral (I); f, urosome, dorsal (H); g. segment bearing fifth pair of legs, ventral (A); h, segment bearing leg 5 , lateral ( E ); i , genital area, dorsal ( B ); j, patch of spinules and sclerotized area on side of cephalosome, ventral ( E ); $k$, caudal ramus, dorsal ( F ); l, anal segment and caudal ramus, ventral ( F ); m, egg sac, ventral ( E ).


Figure 7.-Adult female of Leptinogaster major: a, rostrum, ventral (scale F); b, first antenna, posteroventral (F); c, second antenna, posteromesial (B); d, labrum, ventral (B); e, mandible, anteroventral (C); f, paragnath, ventral (D); g, first maxilla, anterior (D); $h$, second maxilla, posterior (B); i, maxilliped, ventral (D); $j$, ventral region from second maxillae to first pair of legs, showing maxillipeds (E); k, leg 1 and intercoxal plate, anterior ( $F$ ); l, inner spine on basis of leg 1, anterior (G).
prominent groups of spines anteriorly. Cephalosome ventrally with elongate oblique strip of small spines between edge of body and region of mouthparts, and with small elongate oval sclerotized area lateral to level of maxillipeds (Fig. 6j).

Caudal ramus (Fig. 6k, l).-Elongate, $221 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, greatest width $44 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, least width $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, ratio about 5.5:1. Outer lateral seta $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Dorsal seta $26 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Outermost terminal seta $52 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Innermost terminal seta $26 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Outer of 2 median terminal setae 130 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and almost spinelike. Inner of 2 median terminal seta $440 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with extremely small lateral spinules. Other setae smooth. Outer margin of ramus proximal to outer lateral seta with 2 groups of spinules. Distal end of ramus ventrally with patch of small spines.

Egg sac (Fig. 6m).-Elongate, various sacs $693 \times$ $209 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 781 \times 242 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 860 \times 220 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (as in figure), and $1,023 \times 231 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, average dimensions $839 \times 226$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$; containing many small eggs with diameter 47-57 $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

Rostrum (Fig. 7a).-Broad with weakly sclerotized rounded posteroventral margin.

First antenna (Fig. 7b).-Six-segmented, $320 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Lengths of segments (measured along their posterior nonsetiferous margins): 29 ( $49 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ along anterior margin), $88,55,26,36$, and $52 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively. Armature: 5, 15, 9, $4+1$ aesthete, $2+1$ aesthete, and $7+1$ aesthete. All setae smooth.

Second antenna (Fig. 7c).-Four-segmented. First segment with distal seta. Second segment with distal seta and crescentic row of small spines. Third segment with outer marginal row of spines and 2 large recurved clawlike spines, 34 and $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Small fourth segment $13 \times 21 \mu$ m, bearing 3 long recurved almost clawlike setae and 1 smaller inner seta.

Labrum (Fig. 7d).-Posteroventral edge sharply pointed medially. No surface ornamentation.

Mandible (Fig. 7e).-Elongate with distal end bearing 2 helmet-shaped elements and 1 stout pectinate spine.

Paragnath (Fig. 7f).-Small lobe with few distal spinules.

First maxilla (Fig. 7g).-Small lobe bearing 5 setae.

Second maxilla (Fig. 7h).-Two-segmented. Large first segment with patch of outer spinules (Fig. 7j). Second segment clawlike and bearing 1 seta.

Maxilliped (Fig. 7i).-Reduced to 2 small setae, located as in Figure 7j.

Legs 1-4 (Figs. 7k, 8a, b, c).-Intercoxal plates with 2 groups of spines on distal (ventral) margin. Exopods and endopods 3 -segmented. Armature as follows (Roman numerals indicating spines, Arabic numerals representing setae):

| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | coxa | 0.0 | basis | 1-I | $\exp$ | I-0; | 1-1; | III,5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | enp | 0-1; | 0-1; | 1,5 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | coxa | 0-0 | basis | 1-0 | $\exp$ | I-0; | I-1; | III, 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | enp | 0-1; | 0-2; | III, 3 |
| P | coxa | 0-0 | basis | 1-0 | exp | I-0; | I-1; | III, 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | enp | 0-1; | 0-2; | III, 3 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | coxa | 0-0 | basis | 1-0 | $\exp$ | I-0; | I-1; | III,5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | enp | 0-1; | 0-1; | III,2 |

Leg 1 (Fig. 7k).-Coxa with 2 groups of outer spines. Basis with row of small spines between bases of rami and another row near large inner spine. This inner spine delicately barbed (Fig. 71) and $33 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long; smaller spines near its base $7.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. First segment of endopod with outer margin having hairlike setules along proximal half but small spines along distal half.

Leg 2 (Fig. 8a).-Basis without inner spine. First segment of endopod with hairlike setules along outer margin.

Leg 3 (Fig. 8b).-Fine ornamentation resembling that of leg 2.

Leg 4 (Fig. 8c).-Coxa with only 1 group of outer spines.

Leg 5 (Fig. 8d).-Two-segmented. First segment $130 \times 125 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with distal outer seta and group of spines. Second segment elongate, $161 \times 68 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with 2 outer smooth spines, 52 and $55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, distal smooth seta $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and terminal finely barbed spine $147 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. These 3 spines with small spines near their insertions. Two groups of small spines on inner side of segment.

Leg 6 (Fig. 6i).-Probably represented by 2 setae on genital area.

Color--Living specimens in transmitted light with opaque gray body, eye red.


Figure 8.-Adult female of Leptinogaster' major, a-d: a, leg 2 and intercoxal plate, anterior (scale $F$ ); $\quad b$, leg 3 and intercoxal plate, anterior (F); a leg 4 and intercoxal plate, anterior (F); d, leg 5, lateral (A). Adult male of Leptinogaster major: e, dorsal (I).

## Adult Male

Figures 8e, 9a-j
Size-Length $1.82 \mathrm{~mm}(1.70-2.04 \mathrm{~mm})$ and greatest width $0.43 \mathrm{~mm}(0.40-0.47 \mathrm{~mm})$, based on 10 specimens.

Body form (Fig. 8e).-Similar to female but 10 body segments including and posterior to segment of leg 1. Urosome (Fig. 9a) 6-segmented. Segment of leg $5135 \times 236 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in dorsal view, with spines on ventral surface as in female. Four postgenital segments from anterior to posterior $140 \times 166,135$ $\times 143,113 \times 128$, and $151 \times 109 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Anal segment with spines as in female. Cephalosome ventrally with outer strip of small spines and small sclerotized area as in female.

Caudal ramus (Fig. 9a).-As in female but dimensions $174 \times 38 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, ratio 4.6:1.

Rostrum, first antenna, second antenna, labrum, mandible, paragnath, first maxilla, and second maxilla as in female.

Maxilliped (Fig. 9b).-Four-segmented. First segment with 1 inner smooth seta $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Elongate second segment with 2 inner setae and 2 groups of short spines. Small third segment unarmed. Claw $208 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, proximal part representing fourth segment bearing 3 setae. Concave margin of claw striated.

Legs 1-4.-With segmentation and armature as in female, and ornamentation as in that sex except for endopod of leg 2.


FIGURE 9.-Adult male of Leptinogaster major: a, urosome, dorsal (scale H); b, maxilliped, posterior (B); ca endopod of leg 2, anterior (F); d, second segment of endopod of right leg, anterior (B); e, second segment of endopod of left leg (same individual as in d), anterior (B); f, second segment of endopod of leg 2, anterior (B); g, leg 5, dorsal (B); h, leg 5, ventrolateral (B); i. leg 6, ventral (F); j, spermatophore, attached to female, ventral (A).

Leg 2 (Fig. 9c).-Endopod showing sexual dimorphism in having variable nodose outer margin on second segment (Fig. 9d, e, f). Number of nodes from 4-6, and not always same in 1 individual, as in Fig. 9d, e.

Leg 5 (Fig. 9g, h).-Resembling that of female. Second segment in 2 individuals $101 \times 47 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. 9 g ) with 4 major elements from proximal to distal $45,42,80$, and $78 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and $86 \times 42 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. 9 h ) with elements $22,26,65$, and $73 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Leg 6 (Fig. 9i).-Represented by single smooth seta $49 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and adjacent group of small spines on corner of genital area.

Spermatophore (Fig. 9j).-Elongate, approximately $220 \times 78 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ without neck.

Color.-As in female.

## DISCUSSION

This study permits certain observations to made concerning the postnaupliar development of Leptinogoster major. A summary of these is given in Table 3.

1) All five copepodid stages are present in the mantle cavity of Mya arenaria.
2) The presence of Copepodid I in Mya suggests that either the last nauplius molts outside the clam and then enters, or that this nauplius enters the clam and then molts.
3) Copepodid I is Saphirella-like in body form; Copepodid II and later copepodids have a body form more like the adult.
4) The number of body segments increases from 5 in Copepodid I to 9 in the adult female and 10 in the adult male.
5) The armature of the caudal ramus remains unchanged from Copepodid I onward, but the caudal ramus lengthens in successive copepodid stages and in the adults.
6) The first antenna is slow in reaching final form, being 5 -segmented in Copepodid I and not reaching its fully 6 -segmented condition until the adult.
7) The second antenna has an indistinct fourth segment in Copepodid I, but is clearly 4 -segmented thereafter.
8) The labrum of Copepodid $I$ is broad and ornamented with spines, but in Copepodid II and subsequently it is pointed and smooth.
9) The mandible of Copepodid I is a simple
blade, but in Copepodid II and succeeding stages there are 3 terminal elements as in the adult.
10) The first maxilla of Copepodid $I$ is similar to that of Copepodid II and following stages.
11) The second maxilla has terminal setae in Copepodid I but a terminal claw thereafter.
12) The maxilliped in Copepodid $I$ is elongate and 4 -segmented with long setae, but in Copepodid II and Copepodid III it is small with 4 weak unarmed segments. From this point on, the maxilliped in the female shows further reduction, while in the male it undergoes enlargement and specialization. In the female of Copepodid IV it is minute, 2 -segmented, and unarmed; in Copepodid $V$ and in the adult it is reduced to 2 small setae. In the male of Copepodid IV the maxilliped is 3 -segmented, pointed, with 2 setae; in Copepodid V it is 4 -segmented, pointed, with 3 setae; in the adult male it is 4 -segmented with a long terminal claw.
13) The full complement of 4 biramous 3 -segmented legs is not reached until Copepodid V.
14) The inner spine on the basis of the endopod of leg 1 first appears in Copepodid II.
15) Leg 5 is absent in Copepodid I and Copepodid II, is represented by 2 setae in Copepodid III, and abruptly becomes 2 -segmented with full armature in Copepodid IV.
16) Sexual dimorphism in legs $1-4$ occurs only in the endopod of leg 2 in the adult male.
17) Sexual differentiation during copepodid development first occurs in Copepodid IV, where the male and female maxillipeds are differently formed.

The maxilliped in the adult female is said to be absent in Leptinogaster histrio (Bocquet and Stock 1958; Băcescu and Por 1959), in the genus Myocheres (Wilson 1950), in Leptinogaster inflata (Allen 1956), in Leptinogaster scöbina (Humes and Cressey 1958), and in Leptinogaster dentata (Humes and Cressey 1958). The maxilliped has now been traced throughout copepodid development, and it is apparent that a remnant of this appendage exists in the adult female of $L$. major.

This discovery prompted a reexamination of adult females of two species of Leptinogaster, L. scobina and $L$. dentata. In both the maxilliped is represented by two very small setae, as in $L$. major. It is not surprising that these setae were overlooked, since they are very minute and readily seen only in well-cleared specimens.

Although the remaining species of Leptinogaster, L. histrio (Pelseneer, 1929), L. pholadis (Pelseneer, 1929), L. inflata (Allen, 1956), and a new species con-

TABLE 3.-Comparison of selected external features during copepodid stages and adults of Leptinogaster major.

|  | Copepodid I | Copepodid II | Copepodid III | $\begin{gathered} \text { Copepodid IV } \\ \hline \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Copepodid IV } \\ \delta \end{gathered}$ | Copepodid V o | $\underset{o}{\text { Copepodid V V }}$ | Adult 9 | Adult 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of body segments | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| First antenna | 5-segmented | 5-segmented | 5-segmented | 5-segmented | 5-segmented | incompletely 6-segmented | incompletely 6 -segmented | 6-segmented | 6-segmented |
| Second antenna | indistinctly 4-segmented | 4-segmented | 4-segmented | 4-segmented | 4-segmented | 4-segmented | 4-segmented | 4-segmented | 4-segmented |
| Labrum | broad, spined | pointed, smooth | pointed, smooth | pointed, smooth | pointed, smooth | pointed, smooth | pointed, smooth | pointed, smooth | pointed smooth |
| Mandible | simple blade | 3 terminal elements | 3 terminal elements | 3 terminal elements | 3 terminal elements | 3 terminal elements | 3 terminal elements | 3 terminal elements | 3 terminal elements |
| Second maxilla | terminal setae | terminal claw | terminal claw | terminal claw | terminal claw | terminal claw | terminal claw | terminal claw | terminal claw |
| Maxilliped | slender, 4 segmented, long setae | small, 4 weak unarmed segments | small, 4 weak unarmed segments | minute, 2 weak unarmed segments | 3 -segmented, pointed. 2 setae | 2 setae on slight tobe | 4-segmented, pointed, 3 setae | 2 setae | 4-segmented, long terminal claw |
| Rami of leg 1 | 1-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 3-segmented | 3-segmented | 3-segmented | 3-segmented |
| Rami of log 2 | 1-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 3-segmented | 3 -segmented | 3 segmented | 3 segmented, sexual dimorphism in endopod |
| Rami of leg 3 | 2 setae only | 1-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 3-segmented | 3-segmented | 3-segmented | 3-segmented |
| Rami of leg 4 | absent | 2 setae | 1-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 3 -segmented | 3 -segmented | 3-segmented |
| Leg 5 | absent | absent | 2 setae | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented | 2-segmented |
| Leg 6 | absent | absent | absent | 1 seta | 1 seta | 1 seta | 1 seta | 2 setae on genital area | 1 seta |

tained in Gooding's thesis (1963) have not been reexamined, it appears likely that the presence of a very reduced maxilliped in the adult female is a generic character in Leptinogaster.
Gooding (1963:218-220) discussed the generic status of Saphirella T. Scott, 1894, pointing out that species of Saphirella may represent Copepodid I stages of clausidiids. In his thorough description of Copepodid I of Leptinogaster a significant difference seems to be in the body length, which Gooding gave as 0.45 mm , while in this study the length is 0.57 mm ( $0.45-0.60 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).
Although the genus Leptinogaster has been assigned to various families (Table 1), its presently agreed location appears to be in the Clausidiidae Embleton, 1901, along with Clausidium Kossmann, 1874, Conchyliurus Bocquet and Stock, 1957a, Giardella Canu, 1888, Hemicyclops Boeck, 1873, Hersiliodes Canu, 1888, and Hippomolgus G.O. Sars, 1917. [According to the phylogenetic analysis of Ho (1984), the genus Myzomolgus Bocquet and Stock, 1957b, should be removed from the Clausidiidae and placed close to the Catiniidae Bocquet and Stock, 1957b.] The family Clausidiidae, containing seven genera of certain status, shows several features: first antenna 6- or 7-segmented; second antenna 4 -segmented with third segment having in some cases prehensile elements and fourth segment without a strong claw; mandible with spine (or spinelike process) and 2 or 3 accessory elements (setae, spines); labrum with rounded margin, mostly entire without median indentation, except triangular in Leptinogaster; first maxilla often with 2 lobes, but with 1 lobe having 2 groups of setae in Leptinogaster and 1 lobe with a few setae in Clausidium; maxilliped in female mostly 2 -, 3 -, or 4 -segmented, but in Leptinogaster reduced to 2 setae; maxilliped in male 2 - or 3 -segmented plus claw (in Hippomolgus male unknown); legs 1-4 biramous and 3 -segmented (endopod of leg 1 bearing suckers in Clausidium); leg 52 -segmented (though in some first segment not clearly separated from body).
Leptinogaster falls within this concept of the family Clausidiidae. Neighboring families have fundamentally different features, e.g., the Clausiidae (first antenna $3-6$ segmented; legs 1-4 showing various degrees of reduction (as characterized by Wilson and Illg (1955)), the Myicolidae (3-segmented second antenna with strong terminal claw, maxilliped in female a small unarmed lobe), and the Ergasilidae (second antenna with a strong terminal claw, maxilliped often absent in female, legs 1-4 with some reduction). More information on the developmental stages of the members of these families
would contribute greatly to understanding their interrelationships.
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