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## INTRODUC TION

Many signifioant changes in the envi ronmental conditions which affect fisheries in Sacramento River have resulted from the operation of Shasta Dam. Some of these have been measured (Moffett, 1949) and others are now being brought to light. Alterations in the fish populations and their dynamics were first reflected by the activities of sports fishermen. The river below Shasta Dam originally supported no continuous sports fishery. It has now developed salmon and trout fisheries during every month of open season. The increasing number of sportsmen who are turning to the Upper Sacramento River is indicative of the magnitude of readjustments that are occurring in the fish populatiom of Sacramento River below Shasta Dem.

A study was initiated by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service in August of 194 ? to learn something of the population readjustments among the game fishes and interrelated species, and to determine the extent and value of the sports fishery dependent on these fish populations. An effort was also made to arrive at some method of sampling to obtain accurate ostimates of the sports fishing effort and catcho The area studied extends from Keswi ck Dam to Chico Creek and is referred to in this report as the Upper Sacramento River (see map).

This study is a part of the Central Valley Fishery Investigations of the Fish and Wildlife Service. The former investigation chief, Dr. James Wo Moffett, and members of his staff gave much valuable assistance during the study. Mr. James A。Blaisdell and Mr. Kenneth L. Liscom assisted in gathering and compiling cre日l census data. Many valuable suggestions have been received from other biologists of the Fish and Wildlife Service and those of the California Division of Fish and Game. Fishing guides and boat landing operators in the Upper Sacramento River area have been very cooperative during the conduct of the study.

## GAMF FISH POPULATIONS

Before the construction of Shasta Dam the Sacramento River below Redding was typical of other lowland rivers in California's Central Valley. During the summer months, river flows kecame guite low and water temperatures rose above optimum ranges for salmonoid fishes. Therefore, during the summer only warm water species were present.


It is believed that the most common game fish during the pre-Shasta Dam period were the striped bass, Roccus saxatilis, and a speoies of catfish, probably Ictalurus catus. Species of lesser importance to the sports fishery of that period included largemouth bass, Micropterus salmoides; bluegill, Lepomis macrochirus; Sacramento perch, Archoplites interruptus; shad, Alosa sapidissima; Sacramento sucker, Catostomus occidentalis: carp, Cyprinus carpio; and Sacramento squawfish, Ptychochoilus grandis.

King salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha and steelhead trout, Salmo gairdnerii, were available to the Sacramento River sports fishery only during their spawning migrations in the fall, winter, and early spring months. The contribution made by salmon to the sports fishery cannot be accurately determined. Tales about the salmon fishery do not agree, probably because of variable populations and unstable conditions influencing its distribution. The steelhead trout fishery was quite constant, starting late in the fall and continuing until the season's end on the last day of February.

Following the construction of Shasta Dam, the Sacramento River below it was altered considerably. The 50-degree water from subthermocline depths and the increased summer flows released from Shasta Reservoir produced conditions in the Upper Sacramento River suitable for salmonioid fishos during all months of the year.

Adult king salmon are present in the Upper Sacramento River in every month of the year. They are most abundant during spring, summer, and fall. Young salmon are also year-round residents in this section of the river. A variable portion of each season's hatch remains in the river until the second spring after hatching before moving downstream to the ocean.

Early spring migrations of steelhead trout and manys teelhead that migrated into the drainage to spawn during the previous winter find the river environment favorable and remain there into summer. These fish take on rainbow trout color characteristics and, together with resident rainbows, constitute a year-round trout population.

Local anglers believe that striped bass are not as abundant in the Upper Sacramento River as they were prior to the construction of Shasta Dam. The full significance of this change is yet to be learned, but it is possible that altered river oonditions have resulted in modifications of the migratory habits of striped bass in this area.

Catfish and carp have become less abundant in the Uppor Sacramento River but are still common in slough areas. These fish are also found in the lower roaches of tributaries entering the Sacramento River. The Sacramento sucker and squawfish have thrived and multiplied under conditions produced by Shasta Dam and are now represented by relatively dense populations distributed over the entire upper river. Shad are still found
in the upper river area during their spring spaming migration (May - July). A species new to the Upper Sacramento River, the smallmouth bass, Micropterus dolomieu, has appeared in small numbers in areas where it was not known before. Largemouth bass are still found in slough areas but are rarely taken from the river.

## SPORTS FISHERIES

King salmon and rainbow-steelhead trout make the greatest contribution to the sports fishery of the Upper Sacramento River. Other species entering the sports fishery, in order of their importance, are striped bass, catfish, shad, Sacramento squawfish, Sacramento sucker, carp, smallmouth bass and largemouth bass.

The fishing gear generally used in the salmon fishery is a medium or heavy rod, reel, line and leader equipped with any one of a number of wriggling, undulating or spinning lures. Lures most commoniy used are spinners, plugs and flatfish of various sizes, finishes and forms. All types of lures seem to provide good zesults as long as they are hright and display action while moving through the water.

Foui: distinct types of salmon fishing found on the Upper Sacramento River are: boat fishing, bridge drifting, bark casting and riffie casting.

Boat fishing is the most widely distributed fishing method. Boat fishermen usually tow fishing lures through pool areas or anchor in water sufficiently swift to activate their lures. Bridge drifting is similar to boat troll fishing except that the current of the river, rather than motion of the boat, is alvays relied upon to hold the ine taut and activate the lura. This methoa became so popular that most bridges have been closed to fijshing as a safety measure. Bank oastigg takes place along the river where ledges border deep holes. Riffle casting is engaged in only during the spawning period, whon the fishermen work shaliow riffles where salmon are spawing.

Rainbow (resident) and steelhead (sea-run) trout fisheries are treated as ore in this study. Both varieties axe taken by the same fishing methods at the same time, and are of ten indistinguishable. Trout fishermen most frequently use salmon eggs, fresh or preserved, both single and in clusters, as baite Angle worms, flatfish, spinners and artificial flies are used less frequently in the order named.

Striped bass make the most important contribution to the minor game fisheries of the Upper Sacramento. Fishing for striped bass above Red Bluff is infrequent with but fow catohes reported as far north as the Anderson area. From Red Bluff downstream the striped bass fishery becomes more important. It constitutes the major ports fishery in the area west of Chico during the summer months.

Catfish provide a minor sports fishery during all months of the year. Most of these fish are taken near sloukhs formed by old river channels. A bump-net (a form of a dip net) fishery for shad occurs from May into July. Many shad are also taken by salmon fishermen during this period. Sacramento squawfish, carp and the Sacramento sucker are most of ten taken incidental to trout, striped bass and catfish fishing. A very few smallmouth and largemouth bass are taken incidental to salmon fishing.

## CRFEL CENSTUS ME THODS

The initial phase of the creel census covered the period from September 1947 through February 1948. In addition to the collection of creel census data during this period, various means of obtaining an adequate sample of the fishing effort were explored. The river between Redding and Hamilton City was divided into four sections: Redding to Balls Ferry, Balls Ferry to Red Bluff, Red Bluff to Woodson Bridge, and Woodson Bridge to the Hemilton City area. One section was toured each day and the coverage was rotated so that during an 8 -week period each section was covered twice on Fridays, Saturdays, and Sundays, and once on each of the remaining days of the week.

After November 1947 and through February 1948 the creel-census study area was expanded to include the 106 river miles between Middle Creek, the upper limit of fishing near Redding, and Chico Creek which marks the lower limit of concentrated fishing. This area was divided into two sections, one above and one below Red Bluff, of 54 and 52 river miles respectively。 Each section was covered on alternate Saturdays, Sundays and one weekday each week. It was learned that fishing pressuro on Friday did not differ from that on other weekdays.

Results of the 1947-48 study led to a change in the census procedure used during the fishing season May 1, 1948, through February 1949. Coverage of the sections was made in a scheduled pattern as shown in Table l. Following this schedule, each section was covered every Saturday and Sunday, with the sections covered alternately in morning and afternoon in succeeding weeks. A schedule for other days was so arranged that each section was covered one day each week, thus coverage of an individual section was made once for each weekday in a 5 -week period.

## Table I

Creel Census Sampling Schedule

| Week | Day of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
| First | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Axs } \\ & \text { Byp } \end{aligned}$ | Bxa | Ayp |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Axa } \\ & \text { Byp } \end{aligned}$ |
| Second | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bxa } \\ & \text { Ayp } \end{aligned}$ |  | Byp | Axa |  |  | Bxa <br> Ayp |
| Third | Aya <br> Bxp |  |  | Bya | Axp |  | Aya Bxp |
| Fourth | Byáa Axp |  |  |  | Bxp | Aya | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bya } \\ & \text { Axp } \end{aligned}$ |
| Fifth | Axa <br> Byp | Ayp |  |  |  | Bxa | Axa <br> Byp |

A = Section A, Middle Creek to Red Bluff
$B=$ Section B, Red Bluff to Chico Creek
$x=$ Coverage from north to south
$y=$ Coverage from south to north
$a=$ Coverage in morning period
$p=$ Coverage in afternoon period.

The census day extended from the time in the morning before the first anglers stopped fishing until the time in the evening after which no anglers started fishing. This period was determined from continuous observations of the fishermen's habits and was changed each month. A census tour of one soction was completed in half of this period. Census tours wero made in accordance with a prearranged time schodule to provide uniform coverage of the fishing locations. The last fishing location was reached at the end of the census day. The creel census at that point continued until the last angler had stopped fishing, in order to obtain information regarding oomplete fishing efforts.

The land bordering the Upper Sacramento River is sparsely settled and access to the river is restricted to locations where roads either cross or come close. Consequently these locations are the major fishing areas (see map) and are referred to in this report as census stations.

A record was made of all fishermen at each station at the time of a visit. This constituted what is referred to in a latter section as a sample. Information gathered from fishermen who could be contacted included species sought, catch, time fishod, method of fishing, origin of travel, number of anglers in the party and whether or not they had completed their fishing effort. The term "fishing offort," as used in this report, refers to the time an angler spems in the actual act of fishing during one legal fishing day ( 1 hour before sunrise until 1 hour after sunset). This information was recorded on the creel census fum shown in Table 2. The number of fishermen and fishing parties that ould be seen but not contacted was recorded under "Indirect Census." In virtually all cases it was possible to determine the species sought by these anglers from their location and type of fishing gear used.

Due to the size of the area and the presence of many inaccessible fishing locations between census stations, it was not possible to make a census of all fishermen by the above method. The number of fishermen escaping census was determined by airplane surveys in which all fishermen were counted and recorded as being either at or between census stations. Airplane counts were made at least once a month if flying oonditions permitted。

Seven fishermen's registers were ostablished at commercial boat rentals throughout the study area. The registers were plaoed in stands provided with spring scales so the angler could woigh hj.s catch. The irregular use of registers made them a poor tool in determining the catch at any location. The entries did, however, provide valuable information about the weight of fish taken. It was possible to get reliable weights of salmon only from these records. Smaller individuals of species could not be weighed accurately on the scales provided.

Table 2

## Front

CREEL CENSUS FORM
Station
Date $\qquad$ ， 19 $\qquad$ Time

| ```Number in Party``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Origin } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Travel } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 辰 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { + } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} E \\ 0 \\ \text { H } \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { む } \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | Pize of Fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |


| Indirect Census Back |  |  |  | PC－Parties contacted <br> FC－Fishermen <br> HF－Hours fished <br> C－Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Fisherme | Seen | Parties Seen |  |
|  | Parties | Fishermen |  | HC－Hrs．of compl．effort |
| Salmon |  |  |  | 矿－Niles traveled |
| Trout |  |  |  | P－Parties |
| Striped Bass |  |  |  | FS－Fishermen seen |
|  |  |  |  | C\＆S－＂contacted \＆aeen PS－Parties seen |

Sunmary

| Species | PC | FC | HF | C | EC | HC | P | II | FS | FC\＆S | PS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Striped Bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes：

An intensive creel census was made twice each week at various stations from May through August, 1948. This census involved cheoking all fishermen at the station for the entire legal fishing day. The method was abandoned, however, when it was discovered that equally or more reliable information about the hourly distribution of fishing effort could be obtained from the usual census methods. A comparison of these two methods is presented in Figure 1.

## ME THOD OF ANALYSIS

All data gathered for each species entering the fishery were summarized and analyzed separately by months for each of the two sections of the area studied. (See Appendix Tables 1A, 2A, 3A and 4A). Monthly intervals were chosen because local regulations and seasons are set at the start or end of various months. Analysis by sections allows for characteristics peculiar to each section to be represented in the results.

The 1947-48 creel census samples were smaller and less adequate than those of the following season, and for this reason, methods of analysis varied slightly, although the principles involved were the same as described below.

The analysis of creel census data to determine the total amount of fishing and total catch was divided into the following major steps; (1) determine the number of individual fishermen in samples, (2) obtain the total number of fishermen represented by samples, and (3) determine the total hours of fishing and the total catch.

It was necessary to estimate the number of fishormen in a sample in cases where fishing parties were enumerated but the number of anglers in each could not accurately be counted. The number of fishermen in these parties was calculated by applying the fishermen-per-party factor obtained from fishing parties of known composition.

Samples occasionally were missed on a Saturday or Sunday and regularly missed on weekdays. To expedite analysis, the samples were increased proportionally to represent as many Saturdays, Sundays or weekdays 1/ as occurred in the month of analysis, (see Appendix Tables IB, IIB, IIIB, and IVB).

If The preliminary surveys of 1947 revealed that fishing is the same on all weekdays but varies in intensity on Saturdays and Sundays.

The next step of analysis was to determine the portion of the whole represented by the fishermen sampled. To accomplish this a representative distribution of fishing intensity throughout the day was set up, using complete efforts recorded in census sampling (see discussion on page 9). The complete efforts were plotted graphically as shown in the hypothetical example in Table 3. In this case a person making a census of this fishing area once each hour during the census day (see definition on page 7) from $6 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}^{\circ}$ 。 to 7 P.M. would have recorded 98 fishermen. The census covered a period of 14 hours, thus an average of 7 fishermen were present per hour. Since 25 individuals were involved it can be determined that 28 percent of the individual fishermen were present per hour $(7 / 25 \times 100=28)$ (see Appendix Tables I C, II C, III C, and IV C).

Because no two census samples of this survey were taken at the same location during any one day, the same individuals were not counted twice. Thus the total number of fishermen recorded could be considered as the average for the area or, in the above exanple, they would represent 28 percent of the total number of individual fishermen in the area covered by the census. The number of fishermen recorded could thus be adjusted to obtain the total number of fishermen at census stations.

The regular airplane surveys previously described revealed the percentage of fishermen at census stations (see Appendix Tables I D and II D). The number of fishermen at census stations was adjusted accordingly to obtain the total number of fishermen in the area surveyed.

Total number of hours of fishing during the period of analysis was determined by multiplying total number of fishermen by the average length in hours of a completed fishing effort for that period. The total catch was obtained by multiplying total number of hours by the catch per hour of the period of analysis (see Appendix Tables II E, III D and IV D).

## King Salmon Fishery

Creel census sampling of the king salmon fishery revealed that during the period from September 1 through December 31, 1947, approximately 3,300 salmon weighing 62,400 pounds were taken in 83,200 hours of angling by 23,400 fishing efforts between Middle Creek and Chico Creek. During the following fishing season, May 1, 1948 - February 28, 1949, approximately 8,000 salmon weighing 136,200 pounds were taken in 171, 300 hours of angling by 43,800 fishing efforts from the same area.

The sports fishery for king salmon ranked first in importance in the number of fishing, efforts and hours expended during the 1948-49 season when censuses were mede of all fisheries concurrently. The salmon catch was second in number but was first in estimated poundage.

Table 3
Graphic Representation of Completed Fishing Efforts


The monthly catch pattern for king salmon followed closely the movements and activities of the salmon in the Upper Sacramento River area during the 1948-49 season. As shown in Table 4, the catch incrensed from May to June as the spring run gained force and decreased somewhat in July as the migration subsided and most salmon were resting in deeper holes awaiting spawning maturity. The catch increased again in August as the spring-run salmon started their pre-spaming migrations, and continued to increase in September as the fall migrants started to enter the fishery. A peak catch in October coincided with the peak of the fall migration. The catch dropped sharply in November as migrant salmon became less common, most of the fishing being done on spawing riffles. A small number of salmon wes taken in December when nearly all fishing was on salmon spawning areas near Redding. A sizeable winter run appeared in 1949 and the salmon catch presumably increased in January and February in proportion with the number of migrating salmon. These winter-run king salmon also enter the catch in May, June and early July of the following fishing season, when they are caught on their spawning areas.

For the period from September 1 through Necember the king salmon sports catch increased from some 3,300 in 1947 to 4,900 in 1948. Estimates of the spawning populations of salmon for these years were constant or slightly smaller in 1948. 'An increase in the bag limit from two salmon in 1947 to three salmon in 1948 may have contributed somewhat to the increased catch in 1948, although the number of limit catches was not great in either year. The increased catch can more likely be attributed to the greater use of boats in 1948; three boat liveries were in operation in 1947 and eight in 1948. With the increased use of boats, the area from which salmon were taken became less restricted and a larger catch resulted.

The number of fishing efforts for king salmon followed closely the catch pattern, and only one exception was found during the period of this study. This occurred in September, 1948, when the number of fishing efforts fell to some 6,100 from 6,550 in August and the catch increased to about 1,050 from 980 in August. This reversal of the usual trend resulted from a slight increase in the length of the fishing effort and catch per hour which more than offset the reduction in fishing efforts. In general, however, it can be said that the number of fishing efforts for salmon varied directly with the number and availability of the salmon.

The number of fishing efforts for salmon decreased from some 23,400 in 1947 to 21,800 in 1948 for the period of September through December, even though the catch increased in the latter vear. The decrease in the number of fishing efforts can be attributed to: (1) the concentrated fishing and greater use of boats in the lower river area with the resultant lessened availability of salmon in the upper river; (2) the closure of three county hridges to fishing; and (3) the change in the spawning patterm of salmon during the latter season when the most intense spawing was near the middle, rather than the upper end, of the spawing area.

The length of the completed fishing effort appeared to have no set pattern. It seemed to be influenced most by the weather and the number and availability of the salmon. The fishing effort tended to be longer when the weather was mild and salmon ware abundant. The increase in the longth of the fishing effort from the average of 3.4 hours during the fall of 1947 to 4.13 hours for the comparable period in 1948, (Table 4) can be attributed to the extensive increase in the use of boats during the latter season, as boat fishermen generally fished longer.

In all but two of the fourteen months of the salmon creel census the catch per hour tended to vary inversely with the total catch. The two exceptions were September and October of 7448 , during the main fall salmon migration. At this time boat fishing reached a density never before approached in the area bolow Red Bluff, and boat fishermen had spread to cover almost everymile of the river.

The catch per hour increased, as did the length of the fishing effort, from 0.04 ( 25 hours per fish) in the fall of 1947 to 0.05 (20 hours per fish) during the same period of 1948 . This also resulted from an increase in boat fishing which, due to its ability to cover more area, produced a greater catch per hour than bank or bridge fishing. Bridge fishing, the least productive method of fishing, was almost absent after most bridges were closed to fishing early in October of 1948.

## Rainbow-Steelhead Trout Fishery

Creel census sanipling of the rainbow-steolhead trout fishery revealed that approximately 3,800 rainbow and steelhead trout were taken in 43,900 hours of angling by 10,900 fishing efforts between Middle Creek and Chico Creok from Docember 1, 1947 - February 29, 1948. During the following fishing season, Mey l, 1948 - February 28, 1949, there were approximately 10,200 trout taken in 141,500 hours of angling by 43,200 fishing offorts.

The rainbow-steolhead trout sports fishery ran a close second to salmon in the number of fishing efforts and hours of effort, but was first in the number of fish taken during the 1948-49 season. Most anglers who fish for both trout and salmon regarded trout as a second choice because, although more trout were taken, salmon made up in weight what they lacked in number.

The trout catch throughout the season depended somewhat upon their availability. This was revealed by the fact that the catch per hour increased or decreased with the catch in all but three of the thirteen months of trout census (Table 5). These months wore July, October and

Table 4
Eing Salmon Spozts Fishery
Upper Sacramento River
1947＊

| Hont上 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eishemen } \\ & \text { Sagled } \end{aligned}$ | fiours per Comlete Efforざ | Catch per EOU： | Compited Total Eishing Efforts | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \text { oun } \\ & \text { Sours } \\ & \text { Eished } \end{aligned}$ | Casch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mei ght } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { CEtch**** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Septe＝ber | 290 | 2.90 | 0.047 | 6，019 | 17，455 | 715 | 13，728 |
| October | 350 | 3．84 | 0.035 | 17，44 | 43，943 | 1，670 | 32，064 |
| liorezer | 199 | 3.59 | 0.039 | 5，762 | 21，261 | 829 | 15，917 |
| Lecezber | 9 | 2.85 | 0.057 | 195 | 561 | 38 | 730 |
| Jotals | 848 | 3.40 | 0.020 | 23， 120 | 33，220 | 3，252 | 62，439 |

2948－49 Seascn

| Yonth | Eisherme＝ Samled | Howns per Complete Effort | Catch pe： Boun＊ | Computed Total <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Hours } \\ & \text { Fished } \end{aligned}$ | Catch | 下eigit <br> O Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nay | 301 | 3.51 | 0.038 | 4，093 | 14，254 | 519 | 9，398 |
| june | 260 | 4.35 | 0.032 | 5，937 | 24，076 | 782 | 13，372 |
| July | 236 | 3.53 | 0.045 | 3，923 | 13，347 | 508 | 10，397 |
| August | 1.02 | 4.19 | 0.036 | 6，552 | 27，447 | 953 | 16，809 |
| Septe＝ber | 563 | 4.47 | 0.010 | 6，006 | 26，163 | 1，057 | 27，972 |
| October | 739 | 3．91 | 0.053 | 12，102 | L9，031 | 3，009 | 50，454 |
| Soreme： | 30L． | 3.89 | 0.063 | 3，471 | 12，985 | 801 | 13，697 |
| Decezier | 25 |  |  | 177 | 431 | 26 | 45 |
| Jอnverit | 4 | 32.45 | 0.059 | 330 | 931 | 55 | 941 |
| Eetuery | 85 | ， |  | 1，087 | 2，663 | 156 | 2，658 |
| Totals | 2，949 | 3.97 | 0.046 | 43，758 | 271，22 | 8，020 | 136，153 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fotals } \\ & \text { Sept.-Dec } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | 1，621 | 4.13 | 0.050 | 27，786 | 88，636 | 4，837 | 83，568 |

＊Eisherj in jamary anc Ietruaty too light for aralysis
＊Not usec foz contteticns，Tinch are made by sections．


Table 5
Rainbow-Steelhead Trout Sports Fishery Upper Sacramento River

1947-48 Season

| Month | Fishermen <br> Sampled | Hours per <br> Corplete <br> Effort | Catch per <br> Hour* | Computed Total <br> Fishing Efforts | Total <br> Hours <br> Fished | Catch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December | 73 | 3.78 | 0.051 | 3,110 | 11,757 | 648 |
| January | 59 | 3.05 | 0.092 | 3,145 | 9,591 | 876 |
| February | 126 | 4.83 | 0.100 | 4,658 | 22,496 | 2,284 |
| Totals | 258 | 4.23 | 0.086 | 10,913 | 43,844 | 3,808 |

1948-49 Season

| Honth | Fishermen <br> Sampled | Hours per <br> Complete <br> Effort* | Catch per <br> Hour* | Computed Total <br> Fishing Efforts | Hours <br> Fished | Catch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 308 | 3.26 | 0.107 | 5,113 | 16,644 | 1,793 |
| June | 143 | 2.91 | 0.056 | 8,990 | 25,914 | 1,194 |
| July | 141 | 3.22 | 0.064 | 2,408 | 8,040 | 512 |
| August | 113 | 2.49 | 0.140 | 4,249 | 10,875 | 1,527 |
| September | 144 | 3.21 | 0.074 | 2,237 | 6,880 | 501 |
| October | 324 | 3.64 | 0.060 | 8,230 | 29,976 | 1,741 |
| November | 343 | 4.24 | 0.057 | 5,619 | 23,776 | 1,362 |
| December | 84 | 3.57 | 0.087 | 1,484 | 4,039 | 372 |
| January | 123 | 3.48 | 0.113 | 2,213 | 6,070 | 644 |
| February | 237 | 3.50 | 0.061 | 2,656 | 9,305 | 565 |
| Totals | 1,960 | 3.39 | 0.075 | 43,199 | 141,519 | 10,211 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. $1948-$ <br> Feb. 1949 | 444 | 3.51 | 0.079 | 6,353 | 19,414 | 1,581 |

* Not used for computations which are made by sections

December of 1948. July was the hottest month of 1948 and this factor, coupled with an abundance of saimon in the upper river area where most trout were taken, reduced the amount of fishing effort and in turn the catch. Trout fishermen were drawn to salmon spawning areas during October, 1948, where trout are believed to be more vulnerable as they feed on salmon eggs lost in the spawning process. Thus, the heavy fishing pressure and catches in October are not reflected in the lower catch per hour. Unusually severe weather in December recuded the number of trout fishermen and catch regardless of the increased availability.

During the comparable periods, December through February, in 194748 and 1948-49, the catch fell from some 3,800 to 1,600 trout (Table 2). A slight reduction in the catch per hour in the latter year influenced the catch. A marked reduction in the longth of the fishing effort and number of fishing efforts, due to the unusually cold winter of 1948-49, probably contributed most to the reduced catch.

The number of rainbow-steelhead fishing efforts varied directly with the catch in all except one of the thirteen months of analysis. This exception oocurred in February when fishing pressure increased along with somewhat improved weather conditions, but the catch per hour dropped enough to prevent an increased catch for the month. The number of fishing efforts seemed to be most dependent on conditions other than the abundance and availability of the trout. Four peaks in fishing effort occurred during the 1948-49 fishing season. The greatest peak occurred in June when most anglers seemed to take their first lengthy fishing vacations in 1948; a minor peak came in August, which was the heaviest tourist month (trout fishing parties travel farther in August than any other month - Table 8); a second major peak came in October during the period of heaviest salmon fishing and an increase in salmon spawning activity, both of which attract many trout fishermen; the last and least peak in fishing pressure came in February and was influenced by the season's ciose at the end of the month and more favorable fishing weather during the month. If fishing intensity was influenced by the availability of trout as shown by the catch per hour, major peaks would have occurred in June, August and January.

The number of trout fishing efforts during December through January decreased from some 10,900 in 1947-48 to 6,400 in 1948-49. The reduction was a direct result of the colder weather during the latter season.

The length of the fishing effort for trout seemed to have little relationship to the availability of fish or the catch. This faotor seemed to depend mostly on weather and fishing conditions. During the three months of comparison of the 1947-48 and 1948-49 fishing seasons, the leng th of the fishing effort fell from 4.23 hours to 3.10 hours. This can be attributed to colder weather during the latter period.

The catch per hour for trout increased or decreased with the catch in most instances as discussed previously. This appears to be a caincidence as it has been shown that there was a stronger relationship between the number of fishing efforts and the catch. This characteristic seemed to offset any adverse relationship betwear the catch per hour and the catch. The catch per hour for trout did not appear to follow a pattern of the abundance of trout. The greatest abundance of trout is believed to occur fron October through February when the heaviest steelhead spawning migrations take place. The catch per hour, however, did not show significant or sustainod increase during this period as compared to other months oi the fishing season when nostly resident rainbows or river resident steelhoad were taken.

The catch per hour for trout fell from 0.086 ( 12 hours per fish) during the period from December through February 1947-48 to 0.076 (13 hours per fish) fish per hour in the same months of 1948-49. The reason for the reduction in catch per hour is not known but it is not believed to be a definite indication of a reduced population in the latter period.

## Striped Bass Fishery

During the months May through September, 1948, there were approximately 600 sticiped bass taken in 14,300 hours of angling by 5,100 fishing efforts between Red Bluff and Chico Creak. Striped bass fishing above Red Bluff in 1948 was virtuelly absent.

The striped bass fishery ranked third in importance in the number of fishing efforts and hours fished and fourth in number of fish taken.

The monthiy atch of striped bass seemed to vary directly with their abundance in the Jjpper Sacramento River area. The striped bass migrate into the upper rivar starting early in May, reaohing an apparent peak in July and subsiding through September as they move downstream. The monthly catch follows this same pattern (Table 6).

Tre number of fishing efforts, hours per fishing effort and catch per hour very directly with the catch. Thus it appears that all factors relating to the striped bass fishery are dependent upon the abundance of the fish.

Although a few poople fish for striped base fron October through April, no catches have been recorded for those months.

> Table 6
> Striped Bass Sports Fishery Upper Sacramento River
> 1948

| Wonth | Fishermen <br> Sampled | Hours per <br> Conplete <br> Effort | Catch per <br> Hour | Computed Total <br> Fishing Efforts | Total <br> Fished | Catch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kay | 4 | - | - | 212 | $519 *$ | $21 *$ |
| June | 50 | 2.45 | 0.022 | 840 | 2,058 | 45 |
| July | 156 | 3.53 | 0.060 | 2,104 | 7,427 | 449 |
| August | 86 | 2.62 | 0.022 | 1,204 | 3,367 | 74 |
| September | 28 | 1.47 |  | 630 | 888 | 19 |
| Totals | 899 | 2.94 | 0.042 | 5,071 | 14,259 | 598 |

* Computed from factors for June

During the most important months of the river catfish fishery, May through September 1948, approximately 1, 300 catfish were taken in 4,700 hours of angling by 2,500 fishing efforts between Red Bluff and Chico Creek. River fishing for catfish ahove Red Biuff was virtually absent.

Catfish fishing ranked fourth in importance in the number of fishing efforts and the number of hours fished. In the nunber of fish taken, catfish ranked thit $r$.

The catfish ratch is taken almost entirely during the months of May through September. During these months the warmest river water temperatures occur in the area where catfish are taken. The catch must be controlled by thermal migrations from sloughs into the river during the warmer months. The changes of catch per hour follow this supposed abundance pattern and vary directly with the catch (Table 7). The catch per hour also increased and decreased with the catch.

Table 7
Catfish Sports Fishery
Upper Sacramento River
1948

| Month | Fishermen <br> Sampled | Hours per <br> Complete <br> Effort | Catch per <br> Hour | Computed Total <br> Fishing Efforts | Hours <br> Fished | Catch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 38 | 21.99 | 0.071 | 687 | 1,367 | 98 |
| June | 21 | 0.523 | 510 | 1,015 | 531 |  |
| July | 28 | 2.57 | 0.314 | 446 | 1,146 | 360 |
| September | 18 | 15 | 1.38 | 0.262 | 584 | 806 |
| Totals | 122 | 2.30 | 0.305 | 2,501 | 271 |  |

The number of fishing offorts for catfish did not vary wi th the catch in 1948. The greatest fishing offort took place in May when the weather was mild and fishing, conditions were favorable. A secondary peak in fishing effort occurred in August and was probably caused to some extent by the increased number of tourists, although most catfish fishing is done by local people.

## Shad Fishery

A very few shad fishermen were contacted in the croel census along the river below Red Bluff during May, June and July, 1948. Most catches recorded were taken incidental to salmon fishing. Most shad fishing with bump-nets (dip nets) takes place all hours of the night and was, therefore, not considered in regular census sampling or analysis.

## Distance Praveled by Sports Fishermen

During the 1948-49 fishing season an accurate record was kept of the location from which fishermen traveled to fish. In many instances this was not their residence, but the location of their last stop on extensive fishing trips or the residence of friends or relatives whom they were visiting if fishing was incidental to the visit. Campers were recorded as local after the first day.

King salmon fishermen traveled farthest to fish on the Upper Sacramento River, with an average for the season of 55 miles per party; trout fishing parties traveled an average of 39 miles; striped bass fishing parties averaged 25 miles ; and catfish fishing parties averaged 11 miles (Table 8). The total mileage treveled by fishermen amounted to approximately l, 363,000 for salmon, 1,029,000 for trout, 221,000 for striped bass and 32,000 for catfish.

Early season enthusiasm in 1948 caused salmon fishermen to travel an average of 66 miles in May. The mileage fell to 43 in June. The distance traveled during July and August remained constant at 56 miles which was not greatly influenced by tourist anglers who seldom carry the heavy gear recuired for salmon fishing. The distance traveled increased to 67 miles in September as the fall salmon run entered the fishery. A decrease to 48 miles was noted in October when fishing was heaviest ond many people oampod along the river for considerable periods. The mileage traveled inoreased to 61 miles in November as camping beceme less common and reached a peak of 83 miles in December when a few people traveled long distances to catoh salmon on spawning, riffles where they were most accessible. Salmon fishing was mostly local in January and Fobruary, 1949, when the mileage dropped to 8 and 21 miles respectively. The increased distance of travel in February was influenced by more favcrable weather and increased catohes.

## Table 8

Distance Traveled by Fishermen
Upper Sacramento River

| Month | King Salmon |  | Rainbow-Steelhead Trout |  | Striped Bass* |  | Catfish* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Miles per Party | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Miles } \end{aligned}$ | Miles per | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { wiles } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Wilea per Party | Total Miles | Miles per Party | Total Miles |
| May | 66 | 159,586 | 29 | 92,085 | 10 | 3,804 | 8 | 6,206 |
| June | 43 | 158,696 | 17 | 113,634 | 17 | 23,091 | 9 | 5,268 |
| July | 56 | 119,808 | 68 | 103,905 | 31 | 136,657 | 16 | 13,202 |
| August | 56 | 202,195 | 75 | 180,158 | 23 | 43,670 | 12 | 4,433 |
| September | 67 | 230,916 | 56 | 83,395 | 22 | 13,515 | 11 | 3,058 |
| October | 48 | 334,136 | 40 | 199,248 |  |  |  |  |
| November | 61 | 126,548 | 55 | 191,552 |  |  |  |  |
| December | 83 | 12,487 | 17 | 16,947 |  |  |  |  |
| Jamuary | 8 | 2,379 | 12 | 16,642 |  |  |  |  |
| February | 21 | 15,935 | 19 | 31,766 |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 55 | 1,362,686 | 39 | 1,029,342 | 25 | 220,737 | 11 | 32,167 |

* Too few striped bass and catfish fishermen for analysis after September.

Rainbow-steelhead trout fishing parties traveled an average of 29 miles during May, 1948. The distance traveled dropped to 17 miles in June as the opening day enthusiasm subsiced. An increase to 68 miles in July and 75 miles in August corresponded with the intensity of tourist traffic. A drop to 56 miles in September followed a slackening in tourist travel. During October, the heaviest trout fishing month of the season, the mileage again dropped to 40 miles as camping fishermen increased in number. Cemping dropped off in November, another month of hesvy trout fishing, and the average distance traveled increased to 55 miles. Trout fishing was mostly local during December, January and February when the travel dropped to 17 miles, 12 miles and 19 miles respectively.

The distance traveled by striped bass fishermen varied directly with the catch. Travel increased from a low of 10 miles per party in May, 1948, to 31 miles during. July when greatest catches were made. The mileage fell to 22 milos in September as catches fell off sharply. Striped bass fishing was mostly local. Most treveling or vacation fishermen turned to striped bass as a second choioe.

The distance traveled by catfish fishermen followed closely the pattern for striped bass. The fisheries are very closely related as it is possible to fish for both species with the same bait in some locations. Travel by catfish fishermen varied from 10 miles in May, 1948, to a peak of 16 miles in July and subsided to 11 miles in September. Catfish fishermen were mostly of local origin.

## EVALUATION OF THE SPOR'PS FISHRRY

The increasing value of the sports fishery along the Upper Sacramento River is clearly demonstrated by the rapid growth of business benefiting from the fisheryo In 1945 there were no oommercial boat landings in the upper river area. The first organized sportsmen's landing and rental was established in 1946 and three were operating during 1947. At the close of the 1948 season, eight boat landings were in operation, and three additional landings went into operation in the early part of the 1949 season. The greatest known growth in the number of establishments carrying complete lines of fishing tackle occurred in the Orland-Chico area where the number increased from some 3 to 21 during the period from 1945 to 1949.

Of the eight boat landings in operation at the end of 1948, five were in full operation most of the year. At the close of the year the eight landings were valued at $\$ 104,000$ and had a gross income for the year of $\$ 40,200$. The gross income of the five landings in full operation was $\$ 34,000$, averaging $\$ 6,820$ per landing. The eight landings offered 98 boats and 53 motors for rent to fishermen. All eight offered private docking facilities, five had tackle shops, six served refreshments, three served lunch, five had trailer space and one had cabins for rent. Twelve full-time and ten part-time employees operated the eight boat landings, putting in 176 man months of labor during the 1948 season.

In the area bordering the Upper Sacranento River, bounded by Redding to the north and Chico and Orland to the south, 38 establishments other than boat landings carried complete stocks of fishing tackle and equipment at the close of 1948. Twenty-three of these establishments sold outboard motors and 12 sold boats to fishermen. The gross sales of tackle, equipment, boats and motors in this area during 1948 was $\$ 435,000$. Forty-five employees devoted all or part of their time to sales of fishing equipment expending an estimated 201 man months of labor during 1948. Fishing equipment sold in this area but not used on the river was probably more than compensated for by tackle sold outside the area and used for river fishing. Nearly half of the fishermen on the river originate from outside the area and purchase their major items of fishing equipment in their home towns. Thus the above value can be attributed to the river fishery.

In summary, there were a total of 46 establishments receiving, major benefits from the sports fishery during 1948. There were 67 full and parttime employees who derived all or part of their income from work in boat rentals or tackle shops. The gross income for all establishments during 1948 was $\$ 475,200$. At 4 percent this represents an $\$ 11,880,000$ investment in the sports fishery of the Upper Sacramento River.

## SUMMARY

1. Changes in the envirommental conditions of the Upper Saoramento River following the construction of Shasta Dam have resulted in marked changes in game fish populations. An investigation was initiated in August, 1947, to learn how these changes have influenced the sports fishery.
2. Before the construction or Shasta Dem, the Upper Sacramento River was a warm-water river. The most common game fish found in order of their probable importance were the striped bass, catfish, largemouth bass, bluegill, Sacramento perch, shad, Sacramento sucker, carp and Sacramento squawfish。 King salmon and steelhead trout produced seasonal fisheries in fall and winter months.
3. Increased summer flows released from sub-thermocline depths of Shasta Reservoir changed the Upper Sacramento to a cold water river. Adult and young salmon are year-round residents in the upper river, as are the rainbow and steelhead trout. These species now produce continuous sports fisheries. Game fish of lesser importance since operation of Shasta Dam began, in order of their importance, are the striped bass, catfish, shad, Sacranento squawfish, Sacranento sucker, carp and smallmouth and largemouth bass.
4. A creel census of the Upper Sacramento River sports fishery was started on the first of September, 1947. Early creel census methods were exploratory, leading to a sampling method of making a census of the 108 miles of river between Middle Creek, near Redding, and Chico Creek. An airplane was used for the census of fishermen in isolated portions of the river. Analvsis of data from areel census sampies involved determination of the portion of the fishery sampled and increasing it accordingly to represent the whole.
5. During the period from September I through December 31, 1948, approximately $3,300 \mathrm{king}$ salmon weighing 62,400 pourds vere taken in 83,200 hours of angling by 23,400 fishing efforts. In the following season, May 1, 1948 - February 28, 1949, approximately 8,000 salmon weighing 136,200 pounds were taken in 171,300 hours of angling by 43,800 fishing efforts. The monthly catch pattern followed closely the movements and abundance of king salmon in the Upper Sacramento River. The catch and number of fishing efforts for salmon increased during the fall of 1948 over the same period of $19 \triangleq 7$ due to increased interest in the fishery. The length of the completed fishing effort for salmon seemed to be influenced by the weathor and the availability of saimon but had no set pattern. The catch per hour showed a strong inverse relationship with the catch and fishing intensity.
6. During the period Irom December 1, 2948, through February 29, 1949, approximately 3,800 rainbow and 3 tselhead trout weare taken in 43,900 hours of fishing by io, 900 fishing efforts. During the foilowing season, May l, 1948 - February 28, 1949, approximately 1C, 200 trout were taken in 141,500. hours of fishing by 43,200 fishing offorts. The trout catch seemed to be influenced somewhat by the availability of fish as show by the catch per hour but had a much stronger direct relationship with the numier of fishing efforts. The catch per hour did not vary directly with the abundance pattern of the rainbow and steaihead trout. There were fewer trout caught and fewer fisining offorts during the winter of 1948 of than for comparable months of 1947-48 due to unusually cold weather in December, 1918, and January, 1949。
7. During the month from May through September, 19\&E, approximately 600 striped bass were taken in 14,300 hours oifangling by $\mathbf{E}_{0} 100$ fisining efforts. The catch, number of fishing efforts and catch per nour all varied directiy with the apparent abundance patterm of striped dass as thsy moved into and out of the Upper Sacramento River \&rea.
8. During the most important months of caifish fishing, May through September, 9948 , approximately 1,300 catríish were taken j.n 4,700 hours of angling by 2,500 fishing efforts. The catfish apparertly made thermal migrations into the river from slough areas during these morths and the catcin, fishing effort and catch per hour followed closely the supposed migration pattorn。
9. The shad, squawfish, sucker, carp and black bass fishories were too small to be analyzed by the creel census sampling methods. Intentional fishing for these spocies was soldom found, most catches being incidental to the more important fisheries.
10. During the season May, 1948, through February, 1949, king salmon fishing parties traveled an average of 55 miles, rainbowsteolhead trout partios 39 miles, striped bass parties 25 miles and catfish parties il miles. The distance travoled by salmon fishermen varied directly with the abundance of salmon. The distance travelod by trout fishormen seemed to be influenced primarily by the vacation season and secondarily by the abundance of trout. The distance travoled by striped bass and catfish fishermen varied directly with the abundance and availability of the fish.
11. The number of boat landings on the Upper Sacramento River increased from one in 1946 to oleven in the early part of 1949. The oight in operation at the close of 1948 wore valued at $\$ 104,000$ and grossed $\$ 40,200$ during the yoar. In the area limitod by Reding, Chico and Orland there were 38 establishments, other than boat landings, that carried complete stocks of fishing equipment; they grossed $\$ 435,000$ during 1948. Thus a total of $\$ 475,200$ was grossed from the Upper Sacramento River sports fishery in 1948, which, at 4 percent, represents an investment of $\$ 11,880,000$ 。
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APPENDIX TABLE IA
King Salmon Creel Census Surmary 1948-49 Season

| Month | Fishermen Contacted Farties Fishermen |  | Hours Fished | Catch | Efforts Complete | Hours of Complet Effort | Fishermen Seen |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cl | d1 |  |  |  |  | c2 | d2 |
| May | 54 | 78 | 103.00 | 9 | 47\% | 133.50 | ** |  |
| June | 69 | 111 | 285.75 | 12 | 67* | 224.25 | ** |  |
| July | 68 | 130 | 321.75 | 16 | 216** | 920.25 | 64 | 130 |
| August | 54 | 100 | 266.25 | 11 | 49 | 188.00 | 37 | 78 |
| September | 131 | 221 | 454.50 | 19 | 65 | 218.00 | 72 | 145 |
| October | 171 | 279 | 752.00 | 18 | 99 | 355.50 | 101 | 177 |
| ¢ November | 102 | 167 | 477.50 | 32 | 81 | 320.25 | 112 | 176 |
| December | 12 | 14 | 11.00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| January | 3 | 3 | 3.75 | 0 | 3 | 3.75 | 1 | 1 |
| February | 29 | 46 | 91.50 | 3 | 22 | 63.00 | 22 | 41 |
| Subtotals | 693 | 1,149 | 2,767.00 | 121 | 649 | 2,426.50 | 474 | 754 |
| Lay | 125 | 223 | 403.25 | 10 | 176\% | 649.50 | * |  |
| June | 92 | 149 | 360.50 | 9 | 133* | 646.25 | * |  |
| July | 67 | 106 | 156.50 | 6 | 72* | 184.00 | 38 | 71 |
| August | 169 | 302 | 734.50 | 25 | 129 | 557.00 | 150 | 270 |
| ๓ September | 209 | 342 | 1,014.25 | 40 | 140 | 699.25 | 127 | 246 |
| . October | 289 | 460 | 1,287.50 | 90 | 117 | 590.00 | 561 | 1,024 |
| $\stackrel{\otimes}{\sim}$ | 86 | 137 | 280.75 | 16 | 26 | 96.00 | 91. | 162 |
| December | 1 | 1 | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| January | 33 | 47 | 51.75 | 5 | 6 | 16.75 | 8 | 13 |
| February | 35 | 39 | 4,6.25 | 3 | 13 | 24.25 | 11 | 16 |
| Subtotals | 1,106 | 1,800 | 4,335.50 | 204 | 836 | 3,463.00 | 986 | 1,802 |
| TOTALS | 1,799 | 2,949 | 7,102.50 | 325 | 1,485 | 5,889.50 | 1,400 | 2,556 |

* Census samples and complete census data combined
* No record of parties in May and June
APPENDIX TABLE I B
King Salmon Fishermen by Creel Census Sample

| Month | Sundays* |  |  |  |  | Week Days |  |  |  |  | Saturdays |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fishermen Contacted and Seen | Parties Seen | Days |  | Number of Fishermen Sampled | Fishermen Contacted and Seen | Parties Seen | DaySam-pled | ys | Number of Fishermen Sampled | Fishermen Contacted and Seen | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Parties } \\ \text { Seen } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Days |  | Number of <br> Fishermen <br> Sampled |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sam- } \\ & \text { pled } \end{aligned}$ | Month |  |  |  |  | Month |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sam- } \\ & \text { pled } \end{aligned}$ | Month |  |
|  | a | b | X1 | $\mathbf{x} 2$ | T.F.S. | a | b | X1 | x 2 | T.F.S. | a | b | x1 | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | T.F.S. |
| May | 62 | 28 | 6 | 6 | 102.44 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 79.45 | 47 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 62.89 |
| June | 100 | 27 | 4 | 4 | 143.43 | 42 | 3 | 3 | 22 | 343.42 | 77 | 30 | 4 | 4 | 125.26 |
| July | 117 | 45 | 5 | 5 | 205.64 | 36 | 9 | 5 | 21 | 225.67 | 111 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 140.55 |
| Aug. | 74 | 27 | 4 | 5 | 158.51 | 17 | 4 | 3 | 22 | 182.01 | 87 | 17 | 4 | 4 | 120.25 |
| Sept. | 237 | 19 | 5 | 5 | 271.26 | 83 | 8 | 6 | 21 | 340.97 | 94 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 110.23 |
| Oct. | 260 | 31 | 5 | 5 | 311.97 | 66 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 355.32 | 207 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 230.47 |
| Nov. | 261 | 34 | 5 | 5 | 315.50 | 30 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 165.90 | 81 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 100.23 |
| Dec. | 11 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 11.00 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 17.25 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 10.00 |
| Jan. | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 3.75 |
| Feb. | 35 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 46.94 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 20 | 88.55 | 36 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 37.71 |
| May | 199 | 62 | 5 | 6 | 371.53 | 50 | 21 | 4 | 20 | 437.30 | 85 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 102.84 |
| June | 147 | 30 | 4 | 4 | 195.59 | 83 | 22 | 4 | 22 | 652.47 | 79 | 18 | 4 | 4 | 108.15 |
| July | 84 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 104.23 | 28 | 4 | 4 | 21 | 182.39 | 65 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 71.74 |
| Aug. | 228 | 69 | 5 | 5 | 351.72 | 177 | 15 | 5 | 22 | 897.16 | 167 | 34 | 1 | 4 | 227.97 |
| Sept. | 311 | 46 | 5 | 5 | 391.50 | 114 | 8 | 4 | 21 | 672.00 | 166 | 32 | 4 | 4 | 222.00 |
| Oct. | 648 | 224 | 5 | 5 | 1039.08 | 252 | 47 | 4 | 21 | 1753.82 | 635 | 108 | 5 | 5 | 823.56 |
| Nov. | 132 | 47 | 5 | 5 | 211.40 | 52 | 11 | 5 | 21 | 296.44 | 115 | 20 | 4 | 4 | 148.79 |
| Dec. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.00 |
| Jan. | 35 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 47.04 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 22.68 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 29.54 |
| Feb. | 15 | 1. | 4 | 4 | 16.20 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 86.36 | 21 | 2 | 4. | 4 | 23.39 |
| Totals | 2957 | 711 | 46 | 48 | 4295.98 | 1083 | 161 | 43 | 212 | 6799.16 | 2108 | 339 | 43 | 44 | 2700.32 |

[^0]Appendix Table IC
King Salmon Fishery Analysis of Complete Efforts and Complete Census 1948-49 Season

| Lionth | Hours of Sampling | Hourly Intervals | Fishermen Present at Hourly Intervals | Number of Fishermen Involved | Percent of <br> Fishermen <br> Present per hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | i | 1 | $n$ | \% F.P. |
| May | 0630-1900 | 13 | 147* | 47 | 24.06 |
| June | 0630-1930 | 13 | 214** | 67 | 24.57 |
| July | 0600-2000 | 15 | 759\% | 158 | 32.03 |
| Aug. | 0630-1930 | 13 | 179 | 49 | 28.10 |
| Sept. | 0630-1845 | 12 | 217 | 65 | 27.82 |
| Oct. | 0645-1730 | 11 | 390 | 99 | 35.81 |
| Nov. | 0700-1700 | 11 | 368 | 81 | 42.30 |
| Dec. | 0730-1700 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27.50** |
| Jan. | 0730-1700 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 27.50** |
| Feb. | 0730-1700 | 10 | 71 | 22 | 27.50\%* |
| May | 0630-1900 | 13 | 440 | 99 | 34.19 |
| June | 0630-1930 | 13 | 363 | 80 | 34.90 |
| July | 0600-2000 | 15 | 124 | 42 | 19.68 |
| Aug. | 0630-1930 | 13 | 567 | 129 | 33.81 |
| Sept. | 0630-. 1845 | 12 | 711 | 140 | 42.32 |
| Oct. | 0645-1730 | 11 | 643 | 3112 | 41.46 |
| Nov. | 0700-1700 | 11 | 109 | 26 | 38.11 |
| Dec. | 0730-1700 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27.50\%* |
| Jan. | 0730-1700 | 10 | 18 | 6 | 27.50** |
| Feb. | 0730-1700 | 10 | 27 | 13 | 27.50\%* |
| Totals |  |  | 5,352 | 1,267 |  |

* Complete efforts and completè census data combined
* December, Jamary and February data combined

Formula: \% F.P. $=\frac{f \times 100}{i \times n}$
APPENDIX TABIE ID

| Month | Section A |  |  | Section B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fishermen at Census Stations |  | Fishermen Between Census Stations | Fishe <br> Census | $\begin{aligned} & \text { men at } \\ & \text { Stations } \end{aligned}$ | Fishermen Between Census Stations |
|  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  |
| May | 31 | 91.18 | 3 | 43 | 89.58 | 5 |
| June | 37 | 78.72 | 10 | 80 | 98.77 | 1 |
| August |  |  |  | 66\% | 91.67 | 6 |
| September | 48 | 100.00 | 0 | 79 | 86.81 | 12 |
| October | 55 | 98.21 | 1 | 243 | 91.35 | 23 |
| December | 4 | 80.00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| January | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 100.00 | 0 |
| February | 9 | 100.00 | 0 | 5 | 100.00 | 0 |
| Totals | 184 | 92.46 | 15 | 517 | 91.67 | 47 |

* Boat Census
APPENDIX TABLE I E
King Salmon Analysis Sheet
1948-49 Season

| Month | Fishermen by Sample | Percent by Sample | Fishermen at Census Stations | Percent at Census Stations | Fishermen in Study Area | Hours per Complete Effort | Hours of Fishing Effort | Catch per Hour | Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 245 | 24.06* | 1,018 | 91.18 | 1,116 | 2.84 ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ | 3,169 | . 0874 | 277 |
| June | 612 | 24.57* | 2,491 | 78.72 | 3,164 | 3.35* | 10,599 | . 0420 | 445 |
| July | 572 | 32.03 | 1,786 | 91.87 t- ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 1,9 ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | 4.26* | 8,281 | . 0500 | 414 |
| August | 461 | 28.10 | 1,64] | $91.87 \%$ 相 | 1,786 | 3.84 | 6,858 | . 0413 | 283 |
| September | 722 | 27.82 | 2,595 | 100.00 | 2,595 | 3.35 | 8,693 | . 0418 | 363 |
| October | 898 | 35.81 | 2,508 | 98.21 | 2,554 | 3.58 | 9,143 | . 0239 | 219 |
| November | 582 | 41.30 | 1,409 | 91.87*** | 1,534 | 3.95 | 6,059 | . 0670 | 406 |
| December | 38 | $27.50 * *$ | 138 | 80.00 | 173 | $2.45 \% \times$ | 424 | . $0588{ }^{\text {\% }}$ * | 25 |
| January | 5 | 27.50* | 18 | 91.87 | 20 | 2.1.5** | 49 |  | 3 |
| February | 173 | 27.50** | 629 | 100.00 | 629 | 2.4.5\% | 1,541 | . $0587 \%$ | 90 |
| May | 912 | 34.19 | 2,667 | 89.58 | 2,977 | 3.69 | 10,985 | . 0248 | 272 |
| June | 956 | 34.90 | 2,739 | 98.77 | 2,773 | 4.86 | 13,477 | . 0250 | 337 |
| July | 358 | 19.68 | 1,819 | $91.87 * * * *$ | 1,979 | 2.56 | 5,066 | . 0383 | 194 |
| August | 1,477 | 33.81 | 4,369 | 91.67 | 4,766 | 4.32 | 20,589 | . 0340 | 700 |
| September | 1,286 | 42.32 | 3,039 | 86.81 | 3,501 | 4.99 | 17,470 | . 0394 | 688 |
| October | 3,616 | 41.46 | 8,722 | 91.35 | 9,548 | 4.18 | 39,911 | . 0699 | 2,790 |
| November | 657 | 38.11 | 1,724 | 91.87 *** | 1,877 | 3.69 | 6,926 | . 0570 | 395 |
| December | 1 | $27.50 \%$ | 4 | $91.87 \times \ldots$ | 4 | 2.45*** | 10 | . $0587 \times$ | 1 |
| January | 99 | $27.50 \%$ \% | 360 | 100.00 | 360 | $2.45 * *$ | 882 | . $0587 \times *$ | 52 |
| February | 126 | 27.50x* | 458 | 100.00 | 458 | $2.415 * *$ | 1,122 | .0587\% | 66 |
| Totals | 13,796 |  | 40,133 |  | 43,758 |  | 171,254 |  | 8,020 |

* Complete efforts and complete census data combined ** Percent for season

APPENDIX TABLE II A
Rainbow-Steelhead Trout Creel Census Sumnary 1948-49 Season

| Month | Fishermen Contacted |  | Hours Fished | Catch | Efforts Complete | Hours of Complete Effort | Fishermen Seen |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parties | Fishermen |  |  |  |  | Parties | Fishermen |
|  | c1 | d1 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{c}_{2}$ | d2 |
| nay | 264 | 278 | 549.50 | 64 | 104*: | 359.25 | \% |  |
| June | 98 | 136 | 239.75 | 11 | 54* | 156.50 | * |  |
| July | 77 | 119 | 242.50 | 15 | 65\% | 229.50 | 24 | 38 |
| August | 54 | 95 | 164.50 | 24 | 76* | 184.50 | 20 | 38 |
| September | 60 | 88 | 260.25 | 11 | 26 | 72.00 | 33 | 46 |
| October | 72 | 126 | 267.00 | 10 | 38 | 142.75 | 100 | 175 |
| November | 70 | 117 | 350.00 | 23 | 54 | 218.75 | 73 | 113 |
| December | 14 | 21 | 24.25 | 2 | 3 | 7.50 | 8 | 15 |
| January | 35 | 54 | 70.50 | 7 | 19 | 39.00 | 17 | 24 |
| February | 82 | 137 | 305.25 | 22 | 39 | 139.25 | 29 | 49 |
| Subtotals | 726 | 1,171 | 2,373.50 | 189 | 478 | 1,549.00 | 304 | 498 |
| May | 22 | 30 | 46.25 | 0 | 18* | 38.00 | 3 |  |
| June | 6 | 7 | 11.00 | 3 | 9* | 26.75 | ** |  |
| July | 13 | 22 | 38.50 | 3 | 23* | 54.25 | 1 | 1 |
| August | 10 | 18 | 29.00 | 3 | 9* | 26.75 | - 3 | 4 |
| September | 36 | 56 | 151.00 | 12 | 21 | 79.00 | 29 | 45 |
| October | 125 | 198 | 450.00 | 33 | 51 | 181.50 | 175 | 281 |
| November | 148 | 226 | 506.50 | 26 | 74 | 323.50 | 163 | 275 |
| December | 41 | 63 | 101.75 | 9 | 21 | 78.25 | 18 | 23 |
| January | 43 | 69 | 159.75 | 19 | 25 | 174.75 | 21 | 31 |
| February | 64 | 100 | 189.75 | 8 | 24 | 81.50 | 31 | 48 |
| Subtotals | 508 | 789 | 1,683.50 | 116 | 275 | 1,004.25 | 441 | 708 |
| TOTALS | 1,234 | 1,960 | 4,057.00 | 305 | 753 | 2,553.25 | 745 | 1,206 |

[^1]APPENDIX TABLE II B
Rainbow-Steelhead Trout Fishermen by Creel Census Sample

## 1948-49 Season

| Month | Sundays* |  |  |  |  | Week Days |  |  |  |  | Saturdays |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fishermen Contacted and Seen | Parties Seen | Days |  | Number ofFishermenSampled | Fishermen Contacted and Seen | Parties Seen | DaySam-pled | Month | Number of Fishermen Sampled | Fishermen Contacted and Seen | Parties Seen | Days |  | Number of Fishermen Sampled |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sam- } \\ & \text { pled } \end{aligned}$ | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sam- } \\ & \text { pled } \end{aligned}$ | Month |  |
|  | a | b | X1 | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | T.F.S. | a | b | XI | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | T.F.S. | a | b | x1 | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | T.F.S. |
| May | 159 | 37 | 6 | 6 | 221.72 | 43 | 11 | 4 | 20 | 308.25 | 95 | 31 | 5 | 5 | 147.55 |
| June | 76 | 29 | 4 | 4 | 216.24 | 50 | 3 | 3 | 22 | 397.17 | 57 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 72.27 |
| 4 Juzy | 63 | 19 | 5 | 5 | 92.53 | 24 | 3 | 5 | 21 | 120.37 | 70 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 80.88 |
| Aug. | 55 | 18 | 4 | 5 | 109.19 | 38 | 2 | 3 | 22 | 304.99 | 40 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 40.00 |
| Sept. | 85 | 17 | 5 | 5 | 109.49 | 38 | 3 | 6 | 21 | 148.12 | 29 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 29.00 |
| Oct. | 151 | 56 | 5 | 5 | 249.00 | 51 | 5 | 4 | 21 | 313.69 | 132 | 36 | 5 | 5 | 195.00 |
| Nov. | 116 | 71 | 5 | 5 | 230.20 | 31 | 7 | 4 | 21 | 221.87 | 95 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 109.48 |
| Dec. | 24 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 25.64 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 23 | 70.04 | 24 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 42.00 |
| Jan. | 35 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 49:38 | 23 | 3 | 4 | 21 | 144.38 | 33 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 48.75 |
| Feb. | 87 | 19 | 4 | 4 | 118.84 | 48 | 3 | 4 | 20 | 265.15 | 51 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 69.43 |
| May | 28 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 40.14 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 20 | 64.10 | 18 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 26.18 |
| June | 11 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 11.00 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 22 | 39.44 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3.17 |
| July | 4 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 4.00 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 42.00 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 11.00 |
| Aug. | 10 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 11.69 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 44.00 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 10.46 |
| Sept. | 42 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 48.22 | 25 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 131.25 | 40 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 41.55 |
| Oct. | 184 | . 67 | 5 | 5 | 290.98 | 124 | 6 | 4 | 21 | 701.30 | 184 | 29 | 5 | 5 | 230.30 |
| Nov. | 232 | 69 | 5 | 5 | 343.15 | 136 | 12 | 5 | 21 | 652.39 | 150 | 23 | 4 | 4 | 153.71 |
| Dec. | 35 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 39.37 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 63.25 | 46 | 6. | 4 | 4 | 54.75 |
| Jan. | 93 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 129.93 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 21 | 112.25 | 31 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 42.66 |
| Feb. | 58 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 62.67 | 45 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 186.24 | 45 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 63.69 |
| Totals | 1,548 | 428 |  |  | 2,303.38 | 733 | 76 |  | 212 | 4,330.25 | 1,155 | 205 |  |  | 1,471.83 |

## Formula shown in Appendix Table I B

Rainbow-Steelhead Trout Fishery Analysis of Complete Efforts 1948-49 Season

| Month | Hours of Sarmling | Hourly <br> Intervals | Fishermen Present at Hourly Intervals | Number of Fishermen Involved | Percent of <br> Fishermen <br> Present per Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | i | 1 | n | 灰. P 。 |
| May | 0630-1900 | 13 | 387* | 104 | 28.62 |
| June | 0630-1930 | 13 | 163* | 54 | 23.22 |
| July | 0600-2000 | 15 | 252* | 65 | 25.85 |
| Aug. | 0630-1930 | 13 | 204* | 76 | 20.65 |
| Sept. | 0630-1845 | 12 | 74 | 26 | 23.72 |
| Oct. | 0645-1730 | 11 | 150 | 38. | 35.89 |
| Nov. | 0700-1700 | 11 | 243 | 54 | 40.91 |
| Dec. | 0730-1700 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 25.45k* |
| Jan. | 0730-1700 | 10 | 46 | 19 | 25.45** |
| Feb. | 0730-1700 | 10 | 148 | 39 | 37.95 |
| May | 0630-1900 | 13 | 42\% | 18 | 19.94*** |
| June | 0630-1930 | 13 | 28* | 9 |  |
| July | 0600-2000 | 25 | 62* | 23 | 17.97 |
| Aug. | 0630-1930 | 13 | 28* | 9 | 23.93 |
| Sept. | 0630-1845 | 12 | 87 | 21 | 34.52 |
| Oct. | 0645-1730 | 11 | 188 | 51 | 33.51 |
| Nov. | 0700-1700 | 12 | 364 | 74 | 44.72 |
| Dec. | 0730-1700 | 10 | 90 | 21 | 47.39** |
| Jan. | 0730-1700 | 10 | 128 | 25 | 47.39** |
| Feb. | 0730-1700 | 10 | 82 | 24 | 34.17 |
| Totals |  |  | 2,776 | 753 |  |

[^2]Formula shown in Appendix Table IC
APPENDIX TABLE II D
Airplane Census of Rainbow-Steelhead Trout Fishermen 1948-49 Season

| Month | Section A |  |  | Section BK |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fishe Census | men at Stations | Fishermen Between Census Stations | Fishe Census | men at Stations | Fishermen Between Census Stations |
|  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |  |
| May | 62 | 56.88 | 47 | 22 | 68.75 | 10 |
| June | 16 | 29.09 | $39$ | 13 | 86.67 | 2 |
| August |  |  |  | 25** | 78.12 | 7 |
| September | 18 | 78.26 | 5 | 38 | 92.68 | 3 |
| October | 28 | 62.22 | 17 | 46 | 75.4 .1 | 15 |
| December | 16 | $51 \cdot 61$ | 15 | 48 | 76.19 | 15 |
| January | 13 | 61.90 | 8 | $35$ | 89.74 | 4 |
| February | 31 | $73.81$ | 17 | 15 | 88.24 | 2 |
| Totals | 184 | 56.44 | 142 | 242 | 80.67 | 58 |

APPENDIX TABLE II E
Rainbow-steelhead Trout Analysis Sheet 1948-49 Season


APPENDIX TABLE III A
Striped Bass Creel Census Summary 1948-49 Season

| Ifonth | Fishermen Contacted |  | Hours Fished | Catch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Efforts } \\ & \text { Complete } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Hours Complete | Fishermen Seen |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parties | Fishermen |  |  |  |  | Parties | Fishermen |
|  | $c_{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  | d2 | d2 |
| May | 3 | 4 | 4.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| June | 33 | 50 | 92.25 | 2 | 5 | 12.25 | 35 | 69 |
| July | 99 | 156 | 331.00 | 20 | 36 | 127.25 | 23 | 46 |
| August | 53 | 86 | 151.00 | 1 | 21 | 55.00 | 3 | 9 |
| September | 23 | 28 | 31.50 | 3 | 8 | 11.25 | 0 | 0 |
| October | 2 | 2 | 4.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| November | 1 | 1 | 2.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| December | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| January | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| February | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | 214 | 327 | 615.50 | 26 | 70 | 205.75 | 61 | 124 |

APPENDIX TABLE III B

| Month | Sundays* |  |  |  |  | Week Days |  |  |  |  | Saturdays |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fishermen Contacted and Seen | Parties Seen | Days |  | Number ofFishermenSampled | Fishermen Contacted and Seen | Parties Seen | Days |  | Number of Fishermen Sampled | Fishermen Contacted and Seen | Parties Seen | Days |  | Number of Fishermen Sampled |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sam- } \\ & \text { pled } \end{aligned}$ | Month |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sam- } \\ & \text { pled } \end{aligned}$ | Month |  |  |  | Sam- <br> pled | Month |  |
|  | a | b | X | x2 | T.F.S. | a | b | XI | ${ }^{\mathrm{x}} 2$ | T.F.S. | a | b | x1 | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | T.F.S. |
| Nay | 15 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 18.00 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 5.00 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5.00 |
| June | 22 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 22.00 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 93.50 | 20 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 24.55 |
| July | 119 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 14.5 .87 | 38 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 208.32 | 68 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 86.47 |
| Aug. | 57 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 60.47 | 24 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 105.60 | 46 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 51.21 |
| Sept. | 24 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 24.00 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 36.75 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 12.42 |
| Totals | 237 | 18 | 24 | 25 | 270.34 | 87 | 1 | 21 | 106 | 449.17 | 150 | 18 | 22 | 22 | 179.65 |

* National holidays in midsummer included as Sundays
Formula shown in Appendix Table IB

```
Appendix Table III C
Striped Bass Fishery
Analysis of Complete Efforts and Complete Census
```

> 1948-49 Season

| Month | Hours of Sampling | Hourly <br> Intervals | Fishermen Present at Hourly Intervals | Number of Fishermen Involved | Percent of <br> Fishermen <br> Present perHar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $i$ | $\underline{f}$ | n | \%F.P. |
| Mray | 0630-1900 | 13 |  |  |  |
| June | 0630-1930 | 13 | 15 | 6 | 19.23 |
| July | 0600-2000 | 15 | 226 | 58 | 25.98 |
| Aug. | 0630-1930 | 13 | 59 | 21 | 21.61 |
| Sspt. | 0630-1845 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 12.50 |
| Totals |  |  | 312 | 93 |  |

Formula shown in Appendix Table IC
APPEIDIX TABLE III D
Striped Bass Analysis Sheet
$1948-49$ Season

| Nonth | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Fishermen } \\ \text { by } \\ \text { Sample } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Percent by Sample | Fishermen at Census Stations | Percent at Census Stations | Fishermen in Study Area | Hours per Complete Effort | Hours of Fishing Effort | Catch per <br> Hour | Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 28 | 19.23* | 146 | 68.75 | 212 | 2.45\% | 519 | $0.0217 \%$ | 11 |
| June | 140 | 19.23 | 728 | 86.67 | 840 | 2.15 | 2,058 | 0.0217 | 45 |
| July | 411 | 25.98 | 1,697 | 80.67 | 2,104 | 3.53 | 7,427 | 0.0604 | 449 |
| August | 217 | 21.61 | 1,004 | 78.12 | 1,285 | 2.62 | 3,367 | $0.0219 \cdots$ | 74 |
| September | 73 | 12.50 | 584 | 92.68 | 630 | 1.47 | 888 | $0.0219 \%$ | 19 |
| Totals | 899 |  | 4,159 |  | 5,071 |  | 14,259 |  | 598 |
| * From <br> 药 Same <br> *-* August | June data as for tro t and Sept | fishe <br> ember da | combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX TABLE IV A

## Catfish Creel Census Summary 1948-49 Season

| Month | Fishermen, Contacted |  | Hours Fished | Catch | Efforts Complete | Hours Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fishermen Seen } \\ & \text { Parties Fishermen } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | $c_{2}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ |
| Kay | 22 | 38 | 28.00 | 2 | 3* | 4.50 | ** |  |
| June | 12 | 21 | 26.75 | 14 | 27* | 55.25 | ** |  |
| July | 19 | 28 | 92.25 | 29 | 25* | 64.25 | 2 | 2 |
| August | 9 | 18 | 19.75 | 5 | 12* | 17.00 | 3 | 5 |
| September | 11 | 15 | 7.00 | 2 | 1 | 1.00 | 2 | 2 |
| October | 3 | 5 | 3.00 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| November | 3 | 6 | 3.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| December | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| January | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| February | 10 | 13 | 10.25 | 0 | 1 | . 75 | 1 | 1 |
| Totals | 90 | 146 | 190.00 | 58 | 69 | 142.75 | 8 | 10 |

* Census samples and complete census combined
**: No record of parties in May and June
APPENDIX TABLE IV B

| Month | Sundays* |  |  |  |  | Week Days |  |  |  |  | Saturdays |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fishermen Contacted and Seen | Parties Seen | DaySled | S | Number of Fishermen Sample d | Fishermen Contacted and Seen | Parties Seen | Days |  | Number of Fishermen Sampled | Fishermen Contacted and Seen | Parties Seen | Days |  | Number of Fishermen Sampled |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sam- } \\ & \text { pled } \end{aligned}$ | Nonth |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sam- } \\ & \text { pled } \end{aligned}$ | month |  |
|  | a | b | XI | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | T.F.S. | a | b | ${ }^{x_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | T.F.S. | a | b | x_ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | T.F.S. |
| May | 20 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 24.00 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 45.00 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 9.00 |
| June | 7 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 7.00 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 22 | 60.50 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 6.00 |
| July | 4 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 4.00 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 42.00 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 18.00 |
| Aug. | 11. | 0 | 5 | 5 | 11.00 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 35.20 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 7.33 |
| Sept. | 10 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 10.00 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 15.75 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4.00 |
| Totals | 52 | 0 | 24 | 25 | 56.00 | 39 | 0 | 21 | 106 | 198.45 | 47 | 2 | 22 | 22 | 44.83 |

$*$ National holidays included as Sundays
Formula show in Appendix Table IB

## Appendix Table IV C

Catfish Fishery
Analysis of Complete Efforts
1948-49 Season

| Month | Hours of Sampling | Hourly <br> Intervals | Fishermen Present at Hourly Intervals | Number of Fishermen Involved | Percent of <br> Fishermen <br> Present per Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | i | 1 | n | \% F.P. |
| May | 0630-1900 | 23 | 6 | 3 | 16.52* |
| June | 0630-1930 | 13 | 52 | 24 | 16.52* |
| July | 0600-2000 | 15 | 40 | 15 | 17.78 |
| Aug. | 0630-1930 | 13 | 18 | 12 | 11.83** |
| Sept. | 0630-1845 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 11.83** |
| Totals |  |  | 118 | 55 |  |

* May and June data combined
${ }^{*} *$ August and September data combined
Formula shown in Appendix Table IC
APPENDIX TABLE IV D




[^0]:    * National holidays in midsurmer included as Sundays
    

[^1]:    * Census samples and complete census data combined

    敞 No record of parties in May and June

[^2]:    * Complete efforts and complete census data combined
    ** December and January data combined
    *** Nay and June data combined

